Due to editorial errors several equations in the paper appeared incorrectly. The corrected equations appear below.

Page 270, right column
\[ L = L_0 \exp \left( \frac{z_L V}{kT} \right); \quad J = J_0 \exp \left( \frac{z_L V}{kT} \right); \quad K = \frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_p}. \]

Page 274, left column, line 1
\[ \left\{ 1 + \exp \left[ -z(V - V_h)/kT \right] \right\}^{-1} \]

Page 277, Figure 7 legend, line 36
\[ \tau_{g_{\text{fast}}} = \left[ \alpha_0 e^{z \alpha V/kT} + \beta_0 e^{z \beta V/kT} \right]^{-1}. \]

Page 277, Figure 7 legend, line 46
\[ C_g = A^g z \left[ (1 + e^{-z(V - V_h)/kT})^2 (kT e^{z(V - V_h)/kT}) \right]^{-1}. \]

Page 279, left column, lines 3 and 4
\[ \alpha = \alpha_0 \exp(z_\alpha V/kT) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \beta_0 \exp(z_\beta V/kT) \]

Page 284, left column, line 12
\[ J = 1 \quad (V_h/J) = (kT/\mu J_0) \ln(J_0) \]

Page 284, right column, line 1
\[ P_0 = L = L_0 \exp(z_L V/kT) \]

Page 288, right column, line 1
\[ B[V] = \left[ 1 + e^{-z(V - V_H)/kT} \right]^{-1} \]

Page 288, right column, lines 7 and 8
\[ V_h = -(kT/\mu J_0) \ln(DJ_0) \quad \text{in} \quad 0 \quad \text{Ca}^{2+} \quad \text{or} \quad V_h = -(kT/\mu J_0) \ln(DEJ_0) \]
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**Abstract** To determine how intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and membrane voltage regulate the gating of large conductance Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^+\) (BK) channels, we examined the steady-state and kinetic properties of mSlo1 ionic and gating currents in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) over a wide range of voltage. The activation of unliganded mSlo1 channels can be accounted for by allosteric coupling between voltage sensor activation and the closed (C) to open (O) conformational change (Horrigan, F.T., and R.W. Aldrich. 1999. J. Gen. Physiol. 114:305–336; Horrigan, F.T., J. Cui, and R.W. Aldrich. 1999. J. Gen. Physiol. 114:277–304). In 0 Ca\(^{2+}\), the steady-state gating charge-voltage (Q-V) relationship is shallower and shifted to more negative voltages than the conductance-voltage (G-V) relationship. Calcium alters the relationship between Q-V and G-V, shifting both to more negative voltages such that they almost superimpose in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\). This change reflects a differential effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) on voltage sensor activation and channel opening. Ca\(^{2+}\) binding has only a small effect on the fast component of ON gating current, indicating that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding has little effect on voltage sensor activation when channels are closed. In contrast, open probability measured at very negative voltages (less than \(-80\) mV) increases more than 1,000-fold in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\), demonstrating that Ca\(^{2+}\) increases the C-O equilibrium constant under conditions where voltage sensors are not activated. Thus, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and voltage sensor activation act almost independently, to enhance channel opening. This dual-allosteric mechanism can reproduce the steady-state behavior of mSlo1 over a wide range of conditions, with the assumption that activation of individual Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors or voltage sensors additively affect the energy of the C-O transition and that a weak interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors and voltage sensors occurs independent of channel opening. By contrast, macroscopic \(I_{\text{K}}\) kinetics indicate that Ca\(^{2+}\) and voltage dependencies of C-O transition rates are complex, leading us to propose that the C-O conformational change may be described by a complex energy landscape.
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**Introduction**

Ion channels activate by sensing stimuli such as membrane voltage or ligand binding and transducing this information into conformational changes that open the channel pore. Thus, a key question to understanding ion channel function is how the protein domains involved in sensing stimuli (sensors) and opening the pore (gates) communicate. We addressed this question in mSlo1 large conductance Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^+\) (BK) channels by measuring ionic and gating currents over a wide range of voltage and [Ca\(^{2+}\)] \((\text{for abbreviations and parameters used in this paper, see Table 1).}\)

To characterize sensor–gate interaction ideally, one would like to monitor both sensor and gate activity and determine what effect the state of one has on the functional properties of the other. However, this approach is problematic for many channels because the kinetics and equilibrium properties of sensor activation and channel opening are too similar to allow one process to be studied while the other remains in a fixed state. In addition, for cases in which certain combinations of sensor and gate conformation are prohibited, the conditions under which interactions can be probed will be restricted. For instance, channel opening in many voltage-gated channels cannot be studied when voltage sensors are in a nonactivated state (Islas and Sigworth, 1999).

Large conductance BK channels possess many advantages as a system for studying interactions between sensors and gates. First, voltage- and ligand-dependent gating mechanisms can be studied in the same channel. Second, the mechanisms of voltage sensor activation, Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding, and channel opening appear simple and reasonably represented as two-state processes. The homotetrameric nature of the channel and the absence of inactivation also help to limit the potential complexity of interactions between sensors and gates. Third, closed to open state transition kinetics in Slo1 channels are

Address correspondence to Dr. Frank T. Horrigan, Department of Physiology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, A401 Richards, 3700 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104. Fax: (215) 573-5851; E-mail: horrigan@mail.med.upenn.edu
TABLE I

Commonly Used Abbreviations and Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BK</td>
<td>Large conductance Ca(^{2+})-activated K(^+) channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWG</td>
<td>Monod-Wyman-Changeux.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFER</td>
<td>Linear-free energy relationship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C,O</td>
<td>Closed and open conformations of the channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R,A</td>
<td>Resting and activated conformations of voltage sensor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X,Xca</td>
<td>Unliganded and Ca(^{2+})-bound state of Ca(^{2+})-sensor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (_{C-O})</td>
<td>The zero voltage value of L and its partial charge, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J (_{R-A})</td>
<td>The zero voltage value of J and its partial charge, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{0}(J))</td>
<td>The voltage where (J = 1), corresponding to the half-activation voltage of (Q_{2}).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{D})</td>
<td>(\text{Ca}^{2+}) dissociation constant (closed channel, resting voltage sensors).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Equilibrium constant for (\text{Ca}^{2+})-binding ((K = [\text{Ca}^{2+}] / K_{D})).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Allosteric factor describing interaction between channel opening and (\text{Ca}^{2+})-binding. The ratio of (K_{D})s for closed and open channels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Allosteric factor describing interaction between channel opening and voltage sensor activation. The ratio of (R-A) equilibrium constants for open and closed channels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Allosteric factor describing interaction between voltage-sensor activation and (\text{Ca}^{2+})-binding. The ratio of (K_{D})s for activated and resting voltage sensor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{0}(P_{o}))</td>
<td>Half activation voltage of the (P_{o}V) relationship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{D})</td>
<td>Ratio of (N_{P_o}) in the presence and absence of (\text{Ca}^{2+}) at extreme negative voltages where voltage-sensors are not activated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_{P})</td>
<td>Gating charge moved during a voltage pulse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{\text{fast}})</td>
<td>The fast component of ON or OFF gating current.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_{\text{fast}})</td>
<td>Fast component of gating charge movement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{\text{fast}})</td>
<td>Time constant of fast gating charge movement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{\text{GLOW}})</td>
<td>Time constant of slow gating charge movement (limited by (C_{\text{G}}) transition).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_{\text{ss}})</td>
<td>Steady-state gating charge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_{o}, Q_{D})</td>
<td>Steady-state gating charge distribution for closed or open channels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_{T})</td>
<td>Total gating charge associated with opening and voltage-sensor activation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;Q_{T}&gt;)</td>
<td>Mean activation charge displacement/slope of (\log(P_{o})-V) (Eq. 7).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{\text{G}})</td>
<td>Gating capacitance determined by admittance analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha, \beta)</td>
<td>Forward and backward rate constant, respectively, for (R-A) transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta, \gamma)</td>
<td>Forward and backward rate constant, respectively, for (C-O) transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i_j)</td>
<td>The number of voltage sensors activated and (\text{Ca}^{2+}) ions bound, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{(i_{j})})</td>
<td>The time constant of (i_{j}) relaxation after voltage-sensor activation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{S_{N}, T_{N}})</td>
<td>(\tau_{S_{N}, T_{N}}) at extreme negative or positive voltages, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z_{S_{N}, T_{N}})</td>
<td>Partial charges of (S_{N}, T_{N}), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{IN}})</td>
<td>The voltage where (\tau_{(i_{j})}) deviates from an exponential voltage-dependence at extreme negative voltages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Coupling of Voltage Sensor, \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) Binding, and Channel Opening**

Because the response of BK channels to \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and voltage is complex, it is useful to present our results in the framework of a plausible general model. BK channel gating involves voltage sensor activation, \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) binding, channel opening, and some interaction among these three processes. Previous analysis of ionic and gating currents from \(m\text{Slo1}\) in 0 \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) ([\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\]) \(< 1\) nM) showed that voltage sensor activation promotes channel opening through an allosteric mechanism, illustrated in Fig. 1 A, Scheme I (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999). In Scheme I, voltage sensors in each of the four identical subunits can undergo transitions between a resting state at extreme negative voltages. Conversely, some effects of channel opening on voltage sensor activation are best detected when the open conformation is stabilized by high [\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)]. The observed properties of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)- and voltage-dependent transitions and their relationship to each other define how \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and voltage interact to determine \(m\text{Slo1}\) channel activity.

The BK Channel Gating Mechanism

Because the response of BK channels to \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and voltage is complex, it is useful to present our results in the framework of a plausible general model. BK channel gating involves voltage sensor activation, \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) binding, channel opening, and some interaction among these three processes. Previous analysis of ionic and gating currents from \(m\text{Slo1}\) in 0 \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) ([\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\]) \(< 1\) nM) showed that voltage sensor activation promotes channel opening through an allosteric mechanism, illustrated in Fig. 1 A, Scheme I (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999). In Scheme I, voltage sensors in each of the four identical subunits can undergo transitions between a resting state at extreme negative voltages. Conversely, some effects of channel opening on voltage sensor activation are best detected when the open conformation is stabilized by high [\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)]. The observed properties of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)- and voltage-dependent transitions and their relationship to each other define how \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and voltage interact to determine \(m\text{Slo1}\) channel activity.

A key feature of an allosteric interaction is that the two processes involved influence each other but are not coupled obligatorily. That is, channels can open even if voltage sensors are not activated and voltage sensors can activate whether channels are closed or open. Therefore, Scheme I specifies a 10-state gating scheme (Fig. 1 B, Scheme I) with 5 closed and 5 open states representing slow relative to voltage sensor activation and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-binding (Cox et al., 1997a; Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999). This simplifies the analysis of
the C and O conformations with 0–4 activated voltage sensors. Similar schemes have been proposed to describe the activation of other voltage-dependent channels (Marks and Jones, 1992; Rios et al., 1993; McCormack et al., 1994), and the basic finding that channels undergo both independent and concerted (or cooperative) transitions is well established (Sigworth, 1994; Zagotta et al., 1994). The kinetic and equilibrium properties of mSlo1 channels allow us to study these transitions in isolation (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999), an advantage that will be exploited here to determine the mechanism of Ca$^{2+}$ action.

Several lines of evidence indicate that Ca$^{2+}$-binding also influences channel opening through an allosteric mechanism. The voltage-dependent activation of unliganded channels shows that Ca$^{2+}$-binding is not obligatorily for channel opening or for voltage sensor activation (Cui et al., 1997; Nimigean and Magleby, 2000; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000). Similarly, macroscopic I$\text{K}$ relaxation kinetics are altered by Ca$^{2+}$ in a saturable manner, indicating that Ca$^{2+}$ binding is not a rate-limiting step in channel activation. The kinetic and steady-state properties of mSlo1 activation in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$ exhibit similar voltage dependencies (Cox et al., 1997a; Cui et al., 1997; Rothberg and Magleby, 2000), suggesting that a similar gating scheme with altered rate constants might account for the activation of unliganded and Ca$^{2+}$-bound channels. In line with this prediction, single channel data from native BK channels in high Ca$^{2+}$ are consistent with a two-tiered gating scheme for Ca$^{2+}$-bound channels similar to Scheme I* (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999). Moreover, an allosteric model of Ca$^{2+}$ action reproduces many features of macroscopic mSlo1 I$\text{K}$ over a wide range of Ca$^{2+}$ despite the use of a simplified voltage-gating mechanism (Cox et al., 1997a; Cui et al., 1997).

### A General Allosteric Model of BK Channel Gating

Any BK channel gating scheme that incorporates four identical subunits and accounts for the effects of both Ca$^{2+}$ and voltage must necessarily contain a large number of states (Cox et al., 1997a). In addition to the four voltage sensors, each channel presumably contains at least four high affinity Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites, since dose-response relationships describing the effect of micromolar Ca$^{2+}$ on steady-state open probability require Hill coefficients greater than three (Cox et al., 1997a; Rothberg and Magleby, 2000). To describe a channel that can be open or closed with any number (0–4) of Ca$^{2+}$-bound and voltage sensors activated requires a minimum of 50 states, divided into two interconnected tiers of open and closed states (Horrigan et al., 1999; Rothberg and Magleby, 1999; Cox and Aldrich, 2000; Cui and Aldrich, 2000; Rothberg and Magleby, 2000). Despite the apparent complexity of a large gating

---

**Figure 1.** Slo1 gating mechanisms. (A) The unliganded gating mechanism (Scheme I) involves an allosteric interaction between channel opening (C-O) and voltage sensor activation (R-A). L is the C-O equilibrium constant when all voltage sensors are in the resting (R) state. J is the R-A equilibrium constant when channels are closed. D is the allosteric interaction factor such that the C-O equilibrium constant increases D-fold for each voltage sensor activated, and the R-A equilibrium constant increases D-fold when the channel opens. (B) Scheme I specifies a 10-state gating scheme (Scheme I*) with the indicated equilibrium constants. Subscripts for closed and open states denote 0–4 activated voltage sensors. (C) A general allosteric gating mechanism (Scheme II) includes a Ca$^{2+}$ binding transition (X-XCa) for each subunit with an equilibrium constant $K = [\text{Ca}^{2+}]/K_0$ when channels are closed and voltage sensors are not activated. Allosteric interactions of Ca$^{2+}$ binding with channel opening and voltage sensor activation are determined by allosteric factors C and E, respectively, as described in the text.
The coupling of voltage sensor, Ca²⁺ binding, and channel opening scheme, the mechanism underlying such a model and the parameters required to describe it may be relatively simple as illustrated by Fig. 1 C, Scheme II. The homotetrameric nature of the mSlo1 channel implies that high affinity binding sites are identical. Thus, in Scheme II, Ca²⁺ binds to these subunits with identical equilibrium constants K. The independence of the binding sites is an initial simplifying assumption. As in Scheme I, the gating of unliganded channels is specified by an allosteric interaction between voltage sensor activation (R-A) and channel opening (C-O). Similarly, Ca²⁺ is coupled to channel gating through allosteric interactions represented by the factors C and E that connect the Ca²⁺-binding transitions to the C-O and R-A voltage sensor transitions respectively. The steady-state properties of this model are fully described by three allosteric factors (C, D, E) and three equilibrium constants (J, K, L):

$$P_0 = \frac{L(1 + KC + JD + JKCE)}{L(1 + KC + JD + JKCE)^4 + (1 + J + K + JKE)^7}$$

where

$$L = L_0 \exp \left( \frac{-z_1 V}{kT} \right); \quad J = J_0 \exp \left( \frac{-z_2 V}{kT} \right); \quad K = \frac{[Ca^{2+}]}{K_0}$$

$z_1$ and $z_2$ are the partial charges associated with channel opening and voltage sensor activation respectively, and $K_0$ is the elementary Ca²⁺ dissociation constant when the channel is closed and voltage sensors are not activated.

Scheme II highlights an important strategy used in our analysis: to define the simplest mechanism—rather than the gating scheme with the fewest kinetic states—that can account for the data. The simplest or most physically plausible mechanism often does not produce the fewest states. The number of states specified by Scheme II is determined by the nature of interactions between C-O, R-A, and X-XCa²⁺ transitions. Interactions of channel opening with voltage sensors and Ca²⁺ binding sites are the most easily defined. If the C-O transition is concerted, channel opening should affect individual subunits equally. Therefore, by energy conservation, each bound Ca²⁺ or activated voltage sensor must have an additive effect on the energy of the C-O transition, increasing the C-O equilibrium constant C- or D-fold, respectively. By contrast, interactions between the X-XCa²⁺ and R-A transitions in Scheme II do not involve a con-
certed transition and several mechanisms can be postulated, each resulting in a different number of functionally distinct states.

In cases where no interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites and voltage sensors exist, Scheme II will define a gating scheme with the minimum 50 states and \(P_0\) can be described by Eq. 1 with \(E = 1\) (Cox and Aldrich, 2000; Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001). A 50-state scheme is also generated if Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to one subunit affects voltage sensors in all subunits equally and the activation of a voltage sensor affects all Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites equally (Fig. 2 A\(_1\)). However, this would require a complex mechanism for coordinated communication among subunits independent of their relative positions in the tetramer. The equation describing such a mechanism is more complex than Eq. 1 (Cui and Aldrich, 2000). We will instead make the simplifying assumption that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites and voltage sensors can only interact within the same subunit (Fig. 2 A\(_2\)). This assumption, although mechanistically and mathematically simpler, increases the number of states to 70 because interactions between voltage sensors and Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites now depend on their relative location within the tetramer, and some combinations of i-activated voltage sensors and j-occupied binding sites are no longer energetically equivalent. For example, a channel with two activated voltage sensors and two Ca\(^{2+}\) bound can exist in three distinct states depending on whether Ca\(^{2+}\) and activated voltage sensors are in the same or different subunits (Fig. 2 B). More general gating schemes that distinguish the relative Ca\(^{2+}\) occupancy and/or voltage sensor conformation in adjacent and diagonally opposed subunits result in even more than 70 states (Cox et al., 1997a) but, like the 50-state model, require additional mechanisms to account for interactions between or among voltage sensors and Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites in different subunits.

The general allosteric model (Scheme II) illustrates some questions and strategies that motivated this study. Does the allosteric effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) on BK channel activation occur via effects on voltage sensor activation, chan-
nel opening or both? In terms of Scheme II, the answer to this fundamental question reduces to the evaluation and comparison of the interaction factors C and E. To accomplish this and to characterize Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding, voltage sensor activation, and channel opening transitions, we studied mSlo1 gating under a range of conditions that allow us to isolate subsets of the interactions in Scheme II. Fig. 3 shows the sub-schemes that describe mSlo1 for various conditions that are approximated in our results. The unliganded (sub-Scheme Ia) or Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-saturated (sub-Scheme Ib) conditions were approximated in sub-nanomolar or 70–100 μM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} respectively. Voltage sensors were forced into resting (sub-Scheme Ic) or activated (sub-Scheme Id) states at extreme negative or positive voltages. Finally, by taking advantage of the relatively slow C-O transition kinetics, we studied gating while channels remain briefly in a closed (sub-Scheme Ie) or open (sub-Scheme If) conformation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Channel Expression

Experiments were performed with the mbr\textsubscript{5} clone of the mouse homologue of the Slo1 gene (mSlo1) (Butler et al., 1993) expressed in Xenopus oocytes or HEK 293 cells. The clone was modified to facilitate mutagenesis and was propagated and cRNA transcribed as described previously (Cox et al., 1997b). Xenopus oocytes were injected 3–7 d before recording with ~0.5–5 ng or ~50 ng of cRNA for ionic current or gating current experiments respectively (50 nl, 0.01–1 ng/μl). mSlo1 was also subcloned into a mammalian expression vector (SRa, provided by Dr. A.P. Braun, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada) containing the SV-40 promoter. HEK 293 cells expressing the large T-antigen of the SV-40 virus were cotransfected with mSlo1 and green fluorescent protein (GFP, as a marker) using LipofectAMINE (GIBCO BRL/Life Technologies, Inc.) 3 d before recording.

Electrophysiology

Currents were recorded using the patch clamp technique in the inside-out configuration (Hamill et al., 1981). Upon excision, patches were transferred to a separate chamber and washed with at least 20× volumes of internal solution. K\textsuperscript{+} currents were recorded with internal solutions containing (in mM) 110 KMeSO\textsubscript{4}, 20 HEPES, and an external (pipette) solution containing 104 KMeSO\textsubscript{4}, 6 KCl, 2 MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 20 HEPES. Gating currents were recorded with internal solutions containing 135 N-methyl-d-glucamine(NMDG)-MeSO\textsubscript{4}, 20 HEPES, and an external solution containing 125 tetraethylammonium(TEA)-MeSO\textsubscript{4}, 6 TEA-Cl, 2 MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 20 HEPES. Internal solutions contained 40 μM (+)-18-crown-6-tetracarboxylic acid (18C6TA) to chelate contaminant Ba\textsuperscript{2+} (Diaz et al., 1996; Neyton, 1996; Cox et al., 1997b). “0 Ca\textsuperscript{2+}” solutions contained 2 mM EGTA reducing free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to an estimated 0.8 nM based on the presence of ~10 μM contaminant Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Cox et al., 1997b). Ca\textsuperscript{2+} solutions were buffered with 2 or 5 mM HEDTA and CaCl\textsubscript{2}, and free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} was measured with a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-electrode (Orion Research, Inc.). Total Cl\textsuperscript{−} was adjusted to 10 mM with HCl. The pH of all solutions was adjusted to 7.2. Solutions were prepared and experiments performed at 20°C (approximately ±1°C).

Electrodes were pulled from thick walled 1010 glass (World Precision Instruments), coated with wax (KERR sticky wax) to minimize electrode capacitance (~1 pF), and fire-polished before use. Pipette access resistance measured in the bath solution (0.5–1.5 MΩ) was used as an estimate of series resistance (R\textsubscript{s}) to correct the pipette voltage (V\textsubscript{p}) at which I\textsubscript{K} was recorded. The corrected pipette voltage, V\textsubscript{m}, was used in determining membrane conductance (G\textsubscript{K}) from tail current measurements and in plotting the voltage dependence of G\textsubscript{K} or the time constant of I\textsubscript{K} relaxation (τ[I\textsubscript{K}]). Series resistance error was <15 mV for all data presented and <10 mV for τ[I\textsubscript{K}] measurements.

Data were acquired with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Inc.) set in patch mode with the Axopatch’s internal 4-pole Bessel filter set at 100 kHz. Currents were subsequently filtered by an 8-pole Bessel filter (Frequency Devices, Inc.) at 20 kHz (I\textsubscript{L}) or 50 kHz (I\textsubscript{K}) and sampled at 100 kHz with a 16 bit A/D converter (Instrutech ITC-16). Macroscopic currents were recorded at a relatively low gain (1–2 mV/pA) to avoid saturation of capacitive transients in response to voltage steps that often exceeded 300 mV. In addition, for gating currents, the voltage command was filtered at 20 kHz to limit the speed of fast capacitive transients so that they could be sampled accurately and subtracted. Gating current records were typically signal averaged in response to at least eight voltage pulses. A P/4 protocol was used for leak subtraction (Armstrong and Bezania, 1974) except at voltages less than the holding potential where a P/8 protocol was used to avoid channel activation during the leak pulses. The holding potential was adjusted from −80 mV in 0 Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to −120 mV in 1,000 μM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.

A Macintosh-based computer system was used in combination with Pulse Control acquisition software (Herrington and Bookman, 1995) and Igor Pro for graphing and data analysis (WaveMetrics, Inc.). A Lev-enberg-Marquardt algorithm was used to perform nonlinear least-squared fits. Error estimates for fit parameters are given as ± SD.

Single Channel Analysis

Under conditions where the open probability (P\textsubscript{o}) is small (<10\textsuperscript{−3}), single channel opening events were ob-
served in patches containing hundreds of channels and $N_P O$ was determined from steady-state recordings of 5–45 s duration. Currents were filtered at 20 kHz, yielding a dead-time of $\sim 10 \mu s$, and were sampled at 100 kHz. $N_P O$ was then determined from all-points amplitude histograms by measuring the fraction of time spent ($P_k$) at each open level ($k$) using a half-amplitude criteria and summing their contributions $N_P O = \sum kP_k$. $N_P O$ was also determined by fitting $P_k$ with a Poisson distribution $P_k = e^{-NP}(NP)_k/k!$. The values of $N_P O$ obtained by these two methods differ by $<5\%$, consistent with the assumption that the observed currents represent the activity of a large uniform population of channels opening with very low probability (Horrigan et al., 1999).

Normalized open probability ($P_O/P_{OMAX} = N_P O/N_P O_{OMAX}$) was determined by combining $N_P O$ measurements with an estimate of $N_P O_{OMAX}$ obtained from the macroscopic $G_K$-$V$ relationship in the same patch ($N_P O_{OMAX} = G_{KMAX}/g_K$ where $g_K$ is the single channel conductance). Patches that were used to measure single channel activity at negative voltages often produced currents that were too large to measure ($>20 nA$) at voltages that activate $mSlo1$ channels maximally. In these cases, $G_{KMAX}$ was estimated by fitting the macroscopic $G_K$-$V$ with a Boltzmann function.
\{1 + \exp[-z(V - V_h)/kT]\} raised to a power \(n\) (e.g., Fig. 4 C1), where \(z\) and \(n\) were determined at each \([Ca^{2+}]\) from other patches where the entire \(G_K-V\) relationship was measured.

For voltages >60 mV from the reversal potential (0 mV), single channel amplitudes were large enough that false opening events due to noise were not detected using 20 kHz filtering. The prevalence of false events was assessed by evaluating the number of current transients from the closed level that exceed the 1/2 amplitude criterion in a direction opposite to that of channel opening. When determining \(P_o-V\) relationships over a large voltage range, currents were digitally filtered at 5 kHz before determining \(N_{P_o}\) such that false events were not observed at 20 or −20 mV. For \(V > 60\) mV no difference in \(N_{P_o}\) was observed with 5 or 20 kHz filtering. However, for \(V < -60\) mV a decrease in \(N_{P_o}\) was observed at 5 kHz, reflecting the brevity of open times at these voltages. The largest decreases (~30%) were observed at the most negative voltages in 0 \(Ca^{2+}\) and little change was observed for \([Ca^{2+}] > 1\) \(\mu M\). Thus, \(P_o\) in low \(Ca^{2+}\) may be underestimated. To minimize this effect, dose-response relationships used to quantify the effect of \(Ca^{2+}\) on channel activation (Fig. 9) were determined from \(N_{P_o}\) at \(V < -80\) mV using 20 kHz filtering.

**Shifts in Voltage-dependent Parameters**

Patch to patch variations in the half-activation voltage \(V_h\) of \(G_K-V\) and \(Q-V\) relationships are observed for \(mSlo\) (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999) and \(kSlo\) (Stefani et al., 1997), possibly due to differences in the redox state of channels (DiChiara and Reinhart, 1997; Tang et al., 2001). Such shifts do not appreciably alter the shape of voltage-dependent relationships but make comparison of data between different experiments difficult and cause broadening in averaged voltage-dependent relationships. To compensate for this effect, \(V_h\) was determined for each patch and compared with the mean for all experiments (<\(V_h>)\) at the same \([Ca^{2+}]\). Data from individual experiments were then shifted along the voltage-axis by \(\Delta V_h = (<\!V_h>- V_h)\) before averaging. This procedure yields average relationships that accurately represent the shape of individual \(G_K-V\) and \(Q-V\) relationships.

**Admittance Analysis**

Admittance analysis was performed as described previously (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). Briefly, in gating current solutions, the membrane was clamped with a sinusoidal voltage command (868 Hz, 60 mV peak to peak) superimposed on a 1 s voltage-ramp. The voltage command and current signal were both filtered at 20 kHz and current was sampled at 18-\(\mu\)s intervals (64 samples/period). Admittance was determined for each cycle of the sinusoid. Gating capacitance \(C_m[V]\) was determined as the voltage-dependent component of patch admittance appearing at a phase angle of 90° relative to the command voltage (after correction for instrumentation phase delays).

**RESULTS**

**Effects of \(Ca^{2+}\) on mSlo1 Ionic Currents**

The basic effects of micromolar \(Ca^{2+}\) on BK channel gating are shown in Fig. 4, which compares macroscopic \(I_k\) recorded from \(mSlo1\) channels in the presence of internal solutions containing 0 \(Ca^{2+}\) or 70–100 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\), which correspond approximately to unliganded (sub-Scheme IIa) or \(Ca^{2+}\)-saturated (sub-Scheme IIb) conditions, respectively. 70 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\) may be insufficient to completely saturate high affinity \(Ca^{2+}\) binding sites, which we estimate later to have \(K_{H}S\) on the order of 1 and 10 \(\mu\)M for open and closed channels, respectively (Cox et al., 1997a). However, concentrations of \(Ca^{2+}\) or other divalent cations in the 100 \(\mu\)M to 100 mM range produce distinct effects on channel gating that have been attributed to nonselective, low-affinity (millimolar) binding sites (Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001). As a compromise, 70–100 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\) was used throughout this study to approximate the \(Ca^{2+}\)-saturated condition for high affinity binding sites while minimizing possible contributions from low affinity sites.

Fig. 4 A compares \(I_k\) evoked at different voltages in 0 or 70 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\). \(Ca^{2+}\) increases the steady-state open probability \(P_o\) such that conductance-voltage \(G_K-V\) relationships shift to more negative voltages (Fig. 4 C1). The records in Fig. 4 A indicate that \(Ca^{2+}\) speeds \(I_k\) activation during the pulse and slows deactivation at −80 mV after the pulse. This effect is illustrated in Fig. 4 B, where currents evoked by pulses to 160 mV in 0 and 100 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\) from the same patch have been superimposed and normalized. Activation and deactivation kinetics are well fit by exponential functions following a brief delay (dashed lines) (Horrigan et al., 1999). The time constants (\(\tau[I_k]\)) of such fits over a wide range of voltages in 0 and 70 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\) are plotted in Fig. 4 D1.

Figs. 4, C1 and D1, show that \(Ca^{2+}\) shifts the \(G_K-V\) and \(\tau[I_k]-V\) relationships along the voltage axis with little change in shape, suggesting that unliganded and ligand-bound channels gate by similar mechanisms. Indeed, Scheme II reduces, in saturating \(Ca^{2+}\) (Fig. 3, sub-Scheme IIb), to a 10-state gating scheme (Fig. 4 E, sub-Scheme IIb*), analogous to the unliganded scheme (Fig. 1, Scheme I)* (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999). Despite this general similarity, significant changes in the shape of \(G_K-V\) and \(\tau[I_k]-V\) relationships are observed when the plots obtained in 70 \(\mu\)M \(Ca^{2+}\) are shifted by 135 mV to align them with the 0 \(Ca^{2+}\)
data (Fig. 4, C$_2$ and D$_2$). Such differences are expected if mSlo1 gating is governed by multiple voltage-dependent processes (e.g., C-O and R-A transitions).

In general, Ca$^{2+}$ will shift the $G_K$-$V$ and $I_K$-$V$ relationships without changing their shape only if the rate constants in the unliganded scheme (Fig. 1, Scheme I*) at any voltage $V$ are identical to those for the Ca$^{2+}$-saturated scheme (Fig. 4 E, sub-Scheme IIb*) at $V$-$\Delta V$. Since the horizontal (R-A) transitions are more voltage dependent than the vertical (C-O) transitions, this condition can only be satisfied if voltage sensor activation is more Ca$^{2+}$ dependent than channel opening. In fact we will show that the opposite is true. Therefore, the relationship between the horizontal and vertical transitions in Schemes I* and IIb* is altered by Ca$^{2+}$ in a manner that cannot be compensated for by voltage, producing a change in the shape of the $G_K$-$V$ and $I_K$-$V$ relations.

**Effects of Ca$^{2+}$ on Gating Current**

The data in Fig. 4 are insufficient to determine if Ca$^{2+}$ effects channel opening (C-O) or voltage sensor activation (R-A) because $I_K$ kinetics and steady-state activation are generally dependent on both processes (Horrigan et al., 1999). To help address this question mSlo1 gating currents ($I_g$) were compared in 0 and 70 mM Ca$^{2+}$. As the following analysis indicates (Figs. 5–7), the Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity of $I_g$ can be attributed mainly to an effect on channel opening and the interaction between Ca$^{2+}$ binding and voltage sensor activation is weak.

$I_g$ evoked by 1-ms pulses to 160 mV in 0 or 70 mM Ca$^{2+}$ are superimposed in Fig. 5 A. ON gating currents ($I_{gON}$) decay with a similar but not identical time course in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$. However, the 0 Ca$^{2+}$ record can be approximated by a single exponential function (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999), whereas the 70 mM Ca$^{2+}$ trace has an obvious slow component (see also Fig. 5 D). In addition, OFF gating currents ($I_{gOFF}$) recorded at −80 mV after the pulse are slowed substantially in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$.

Since Ca$^{2+}$ affects gating current and shifts the voltage dependence of $G_K$ and $I_K$, it might appear reasonable to conclude that Ca$^{2+}$ acts by promoting volt-

---

**Figure 5.** Effects of Ca$^{2+}$ on gating currents (A) Gating currents evoked by 1-ms pulses to 160 mV in 0 and 70 mM Ca$^{2+}$. $I_g$ was recorded in the absence of K$^+$ and the presence of 125 mM external TEA to eliminate ionic currents. (B) Families of $I_g$ evoked by depolarizations to 160 mV of different duration (0.1–20 ms) in 0 and 70 mM Ca$^{2+}$ from two different patches. Total OFF charge for each pulse ($Q_p$) was determined by integrating $I_g$ for 5 ms and is plotted against pulse duration in (C). Results were fit with double exponential functions (0 Ca$^{2+}$: $\tau_1 = 63$ ms, $A_1 = 11.7$ fC, $\tau_2 = 4.2$ ms, $A_2 = 8.7$ fC; 70 Ca$^{2+}$: $\tau_1 = 68$ ms, $A_1 = 14.6$ fC, $\tau_2 = 0.85$ ms, $A_2 = 12.8$ fC). (D) The derivative of the fits to $Q_p$ (solid lines) are superimposed with $I_{gON}$ at 160 mV. Dashed lines represent the slow component of the fits.
The Ca\(^{2+}\) Dependence of Slow Gating Charge Movement

\(I_{\text{ON}}\) evoked during a pulse to 160 mV in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5 A) consists of a prominent fast component, representing voltage sensor activation while channels are closed, and an additional component that is \(\sim 100\)-fold slower. The relaxation of the slow component, like \(I_K\) activation, is limited by the speed of channel opening (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999) and therefore should exhibit a Ca\(^{2+}\) and voltage dependence similar to that of \(\tau(I_K)\) (Fig. 4 B). To examine the slow component in detail, \(I_{\text{OFF}}\) was measured in response to pulses of different duration to 160 mV in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) and 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5 B). The total charge moved during each pulse (\(Q_p\)) was determined by integrating \(I_{\text{OFF}}\) and is plotted versus pulse duration in Fig. 5 C. These \(Q_p\) time courses, which indicate the kinetics of ON charge movement, are fit with double exponential functions (solid lines). Prominent slow components are observed in both 0 and 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) representing 43% and 47% of the

**Figure 6.** Properties of gating charge movement in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\). (A) Families of \(I_g\) evoked by depolarizations of different duration to the indicated voltages in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) (HP = –80 mV). (B) Plots of \(Q_p\) versus pulse duration determined from A and fit with double exponential functions. The 160-mV trace (\(\nabla\)) was obtained from a different patch than the others (\(\bullet\)) and was normalized to the 120 mV trace because the steady-state Q-V relationship is saturated for \(V \geq 120\) mV in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) (see E). (C) \(\tau_{\text{SLOW}}\)-V relationships in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) were determined at positive voltages from the slow component of \(Q_p\) and at negative voltages from the slow component of \(Q_{\text{OFF}}\) (e.g., Fig. 13 C). Individual data points (\(\Delta\)) and mean \(\pm\) SEM (\(\square\)) are plotted. The mean \(\tau(I_{\text{K}})-V\) relationship (\(\bigcirc\)) was fit by exponential functions (solid lines) over voltage ranges corresponding to the \(\tau_{\text{SLOW}}\) data. These fits were then scaled (dashed lines) to match the \(\tau_{\text{SLOW}}\)-V relationships. (D) \(Q_{\text{P(20)}}\)-V relationships determined following brief (0.25 ms) or prolonged (20 ms) pulses (from B) are compared with \(Q_{\text{OFF}}\)-V determined by integrating the fast component of \(I_g\) (e.g., Fig. 13 C). Individual data points (\(\bullet\)) and mean \(\pm\) SEM (\(\bigcirc\)) are plotted. Individual \(Q_{\text{V}}\)s were normalized based on fits to Boltzmann functions. The 160-mV trace (\(\nabla\)) was obtained from a different patch than the others (\(\bullet\)). (E) Normalized steady-state \(Q_{\text{V}}\)s (solid symbols) and \(G_{\text{V}}\)s (open symbols) in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) and 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\). \(Q_{\text{SS}}\) was determined from 10–20-ms pulses and data from three experiments in each [Ca\(^{2+}\)] were plotted. Individual \(Q_{\text{V}}\)s were normalized based on fits to Boltzmann functions. Boltzmann fits to the cumulative data are shown (0 Ca\(^{2+}\): \(z = 0.59, V_h = 150\) mV, 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\): \(z = 1.19, V_h = 40\) mV). \(G_{\text{V}}\)s (mean \(\pm\) SEM) were measured and fit as in Fig. 4 C.
steady-state charge movement, respectively. Time-derivatives of the fits to \( Q_{\text{fast}} \) superimpose with \( I_{\text{gON}} \) (Fig. 5 D) demonstrating that \( I_k \) kinetics reflect large slow components of ON charge movement.

Slow charge movement is evident as a distinct component of \( I_{\text{gON}} \) in 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+} \) (dashed line – Fig. 5 D) but not in 0 Ca\(^{2+} \) because its time constant (\( \tau_{\text{gslow}} \)) is decreased from 4.2 ms in 0 Ca\(^{2+} \) to 0.85 ms in 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+} \) (Fig. 5 C). This fivefold change in kinetics produces a fivefold increase in slow gating current amplitude in 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+} \). The marked Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitivity of \( \tau_{\text{gslow}} \) is consistent with the ability of Ca\(^{2+} \) to speed \( I_k \) activation (Fig. 4 A).

**The Voltage Dependence of Slow Gating Charge Movement in 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+} \)**

The properties of slow charge movement in 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+} \) are examined in more detail in Fig. 6. Families of \( I_k \) evoked after pulses of different duration to various voltages are shown in Fig. 6 A. Time courses of ON charge movement (\( Q_{\text{fast}} \)) determined from these data are plotted in Fig. 6 B and fit with double exponential functions. The time constant of the slow component is plotted against voltage in Fig. 6 C together with \( \tau_{\text{gslow}} \) at negative voltages, determined from the slow component of OFF charge movement (Horrigan and Aldrich, 2007).
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1999) (see also Fig. 13 C). Also shown are the mean time constants of \( I_\text{K} \) relaxation at \( \tau I_\text{K} \) in 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺. At both positive and negative voltages the voltage dependencies of \( \tau_{g\text{SLOW}} \) and \( \tau I_\text{K} \) are identical (Fig. 6 C, compare solid and dashed lines), supporting the idea that slow charge movement is limited by channel opening. However, \( \tau_{g\text{SLOW}} \) is approximately fourfold slower than \( \tau I_\text{K} \) at positive voltages and twofold slower at negative voltages. Differences between \( \tau I_\text{K} \) and \( \tau_{g\text{SLOW}} \) were also reported previously in 0 Ca²⁺ and may reflect the different ionic conditions used to measure \( I_\text{K} \) and \( I_g \) (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999).

**Ca²⁺ Alters the Relationship between Q-V and G-V**

The Q-V curves in Fig. 6 D compare the voltage dependence of charge movement for pulses of different duration (from Fig. 6 B). The Q-V determined with brief 0.25 ms pulses (\( Q_{\text{p}(0.25)} \)) reflects fast charge movement. The voltage dependence of fast charge movement was also determined over a wider voltage range by integrating the fast component of \( I_{\text{ON}} \) (Fig. 6 D) (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). The steady-state \( Q_{\text{SS}} \)-V relationships determined with a 20 ms pulse (\( Q_{\text{p}(20)} \), Fig. 6 D) is steeper and shifted to more negative voltages than \( Q_{\text{fast}} \)-V. This difference reflects the voltage dependence of slow charge movement and contrasts with results in 0 Ca²⁺ where \( Q_{\text{SS}} \) and \( Q_{\text{fast}} \)-V curves are similar in shape (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999).

The steady-state Q-V and G-V relationships in 0 and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺ are compared in Fig. 6 E and indicate that Ca²⁺-binding changes the relationship between charge movement and open probability. In 0 Ca²⁺ the Q-V is shallower and activates at more negative voltages than the G-V, exhibiting an approximate fourth-power relationship between the two, as in many voltage-dependent channels (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). In 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺, however, the Q-V and G-V almost superimpose. Calcium has changed the coupling between charge movement and channel opening so that less charge moves, on average, at voltages where most channels are closed. That is, Ca²⁺ allows channels to open when fewer voltage sensors have been activated.

**The Effects of Ca²⁺ on Voltage Sensor Activation**

To determine whether Ca²⁺ affects voltage sensor activation directly, we compared the fast components of gating charge movement in the presence and absence of Ca²⁺ (Fig. 7). This analysis shows that the interaction between Ca²⁺ binding and voltage sensor activation is weak.

Because voltage sensor movement in BK channels is rapid compared with channel opening and closing, the fast component of ON or OFF gating current \( I_{\text{fast}} \) assays voltage sensor movement while channels remain in either a closed or open conformation (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999) corresponding to sub-Schemes Ie and II, respectively (Fig. 3). For example, \( I_\text{K} \) activates with a delay of \( \sim 100 \mu \text{s} \) after a voltage step (0 Ca²⁺, 20°C) (Horrigan et al., 1999). During this period, \( I_\text{K} \) reflects voltage sensor activation while channels are closed. If voltage sensor activation is a two state process as in Scheme II, then the initial decay of \( I_\text{K} \) should be exponential, reflecting the R to A transition (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). Therefore, \( I_{\text{fast}} \) at positive voltages was isolated by fitting the first 100 \( \mu \text{s} \) of \( I_{\text{ON}} \) with an exponential function (Fig. 7, A and B, dashed lines). Because channels are closed, the Ca²⁺ dependence of \( I_{\text{fast}} \) must reflect a direct interaction between Ca²⁺ binding and voltage sensor movement that is independent of the ability of Ca²⁺ to alter channel opening.

**Ca²⁺ Increases the Equilibrium Constant for Voltage Sensor Activation**

The amount of fast charge movement \( (Q_{\text{fast}}) \) was estimated by integrating the area under the exponential fit to \( I_{\text{fast}} \), corresponding to the shaded regions in Fig. 7, A and B. Normalized \( Q_{\text{fast}} \) (mean \( \pm \) SEM) is plotted against voltage on linear and log scales in Fig. 7, C and D, respectively for 0 Ca²⁺ and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺. The mean half-activation voltage \( (\langle V_b(Q_{\text{fast}}) \rangle) \) determined by fitting Boltzmann functions to individual Q-V records was shifted by \(-20 \) mV from \( 155 \pm 7 \) mV in 0 Ca²⁺ \( (n = 10) \) to \( 135 \pm 8 \) mV in 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺ \( (n = 8) \), whereas the shape of the curves represented by the voltage sensor charge \( z_j \) was not appreciably altered (0 Ca²⁺: \( z_j = 0.59 \pm 0.03 \) e; 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺: \( z_j = 0.57 \pm 0.05 \) e).

Because of the considerable patch-to-patch variation in \( V_b(Q_{\text{fast}}) \), individual \( Q_{\text{fast}} \)-V curves were aligned to the mean half-activation voltage in 0 or 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca²⁺ before averaging (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999) (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). The resulting plots (Fig. 7, C and D) are well fit by Boltzmann functions (solid lines) with identical valence (0.58 e) but different half-activation voltages, implying a small increase in the equilibrium constant for voltage sensor activation upon Ca²⁺ binding, as predicted by Scheme II when \( E > 1 \). The good fit by Boltzmann functions is also consistent with the assumption that voltage sensor activation can be described by a single R-A transition and that voltage sensors act independently when channels are closed.

**Ca²⁺ Slows Voltage Sensor Deactivation**

The kinetics of fast charge movement are altered slightly by Ca²⁺, consistent with a small increase in the equilibrium constant for voltage sensor activation. The mean \( I_{\text{fast}} \) time constants \( (\tau_{\text{fast}}) \) from Fig. 7, A and B, and similar experiments are plotted versus voltage in Fig. 7 E. At negative voltages, \( \tau_{\text{fast}} \) was determined by fitting the fast component of \( I_{\text{OFF}} \) evoked after brief pulses (0.06–0.25 ms) that activate voltage sensors while most channels remain closed. The \( \tau_{\text{fast}} \)-V rela-
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tionships were fit (Fig. 7 E, solid lines) with bell-shaped functions $\tau_{\text{gfast}} = (\alpha + \beta)^{-1}$ as predicted for a two-state model of voltage sensor activation where $\alpha = \alpha_0 e^{-z_a/kT}$ and $\beta = \beta_0 e^{-z_b/kT}$ represent the forward and backward rate constants for voltage sensor activation when channels are closed. The partial charges associated with these rate constants ($z_a$, $z_b$) were determined from the best fit to the 0 Ca$^{2+}$ data when $z_L = |z_a| + |z_b|$ was constrained to 0.55 e (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). Attempts to constrain $z_L = 0.58$ e as for the $Q_{\text{fast}}$-$V$ fits produced $\tau_{\text{gfast}}$-$V$ fits that were too steep (unpublished data). Ca$^{2+}$ shifts the peak of the $\tau_{\text{gfast}}$-$V$ fit by $-20$ mV, consistent with the shift in $Q_{\text{fast}}$-$V$. Ca$^{2+}$ mainly reduces the backward rate for voltage sensor activation, and therefore increases $\tau_{\text{gfast}}$ at negative voltages, but has little effect on $\tau_{\text{gfast}}$ at positive voltages where the forward rate predominates (e.g., Fig. 7, A and B, at 160 mV).

Quantifying the Interaction between Ca$^{2+}$-binding and Voltage Sensor Activation

Fig. 7, A–E, show that Ca$^{2+}$ has a small direct effect on voltage sensor movement. However, $Q_{\text{fast}}$-$V$ relationships in 0 and 70 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ were rarely obtained from the same patch because $I_g$ is small and extensive signal averaging was required. Thus, the change in mean $V_{\text{inj}}(Q_{\text{fast}})$ ($\Delta<V_{\text{inj}}(Q_{\text{fast}})> = <V_{\text{inj}}(Q_{\text{fast}})[0 \text{Ca}]> - <V_{\text{inj}}(Q_{\text{fast}})[70 \text{Ca}]>) = 20$ mV may not represent accurately the $Q_{\text{fast}}$-$V$ shift in individual experiments.

A more accurate estimate of the $Q_{\text{fast}}$-$V$ shift ($-33$ mV) was obtained using admittance analysis. Admittance analysis provides an alternative method for selectively measuring fast gating charge movement (Fernandez et al., 1982). When the membrane is clamped with a sinusoidal voltage command, a nonlinear gating capacitance ($C_g$) proportional to $dQ_{\text{fast}}/dV$...
can be determined (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999) (see materials and methods). This technique provides a rapid assay of \( Q_{\text{fast}}(V) \) such that the effects of 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+}\) can be compared in the same patch. Fig. 7 F shows the \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) relationship is altered by Ca\(^{2+}\). To estimate the \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) relationship for closed channels, the \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) traces were fit by the derivative of Boltzmann functions with respect to voltage over a voltage range where \( P_O \) is small (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). The 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) fit determined for \( V < 100 \text{ mV} \) (solid line) was shifted along the voltage-axis by \(-32 \text{ mV} \) to fit the 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+}\) data from the same patch for \( V < -10 \text{ mV} \). Similar analysis in several different experiments indicate a mean \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) shift of \(-33 \pm 4 \text{ mV} \) (mean \( \pm \text{SEM}, \) \( n = 6 \)).

**The Energetic Relationship between Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding and Voltage Sensor Activation**

A \(-33 \text{ mV} \) shift in \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding can be accounted for by Scheme II if the allosteric factor \( E \) is assigned a value of 2.1 (\( z_E = 0.58 \)). Thus, Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding increases the R-A equilibrium constant 2.1-fold, altering the energetics of voltage sensor activation by 0.45 kcal mole. This represents a lower limit for the interaction energy because 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+}\) may not be sufficient to completely saturate Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites and the saturating shift in \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) may therefore be underestimated.

**Effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on Voltage Sensor Activation Cannot Account for the Shift in \( P_O^{-1} \)**

To determine whether the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent shift in the half-activation voltage of the \( P_O^{-1} \) relationship (\( \Delta V_{\text{h}}[P_O] \)) can be accounted for by an effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) on voltage sensor activation, we examined the behavior of Scheme II when there is no direct interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and channel opening (i.e., \( C = 1 \)). If we set \( E = 2.1 \) to account for the \(-33 \text{ mV} \) shift in the \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) relationship produced by 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+}\) then the model predicts \( \Delta V_{\text{h}}[P_O] = -19 \text{ mV} \), only 11% of the observed value (\( \Delta V_{\text{h}}[P_O] = -166 \text{ mV} \), Fig. 4 C). Even if \( E \) is infinite, Scheme II cannot reproduce a \(-166 \text{ mV} \) shift when \( C = 1 \). If \( E \) is large then Ca\(^{2+}\) binding will effectively lock voltage sensors in the activated conformation (i.e., JE >> 1) such that in saturating [Ca\(^{2+}\)] the channel can only occupy a single closed and open state with a C-O equilibrium constant of LC\(^4\)D\(^4\), hence:

\[
P_o = \frac{LD^4C^4}{1 + LD^4C^4}
\]

and

\[
V_{\text{h}}[P_O] = \frac{kT}{z_E} \ln (L_0C^4D^4).
\]

\( V_{\text{h}}[P_O] \) represents, for any \( z_E, L_0D, \) and \( C \) in saturating Ca\(^{2+}\), the lower limit of \( V_{\text{h}}[P_O] \) as \( E \) becomes large.

Given the parameters (\( z_E, L_0D \)) used previously (0.4 \( e, 2 \times 10^{-6}, 17 \)) (Horrigan et al., 1999) or in the present study (0.3 \( e, 0.98 \times 10^{-6}, 25 \)), Eq. 2 predicts \( V_{\text{h}}[P_O] = 81 \text{ or } 113 \text{ mV} \), respectively, when \( C = 1 \) as compared with the observed \( V_{\text{h}}[P_O] = 20 \pm 8 \text{ mV} \) in 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+}\). Thus, interaction of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding with voltage sensor activation is insufficient to account for the observed \( \Delta V_{\text{h}}[P_O] \), even if \( E \) is large. We conclude that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding must affect the C-O transition directly (i.e., \( C > 1 \)).

**Effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on the C-O Transition**

The weak Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitivity of fast charge movement and data presented in Figs. 8 and 9 show that the primary mechanism of Ca\(^{2+}\) action involves direct interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding and the C-O transition.

The ability of Ca\(^{2+}\) to speed \( I_k \) activation, slow deactivation, increase open probability (Fig. 4), and produce analogous effects on the kinetics and amplitude of slow charge movement (Figs. 5 and 6) are consistent with Ca\(^{2+}\) causing a change in the rate-limiting C-O transition. However, Ca\(^{2+}\) may alter this transition through two different pathways: a direct interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and channel opening (Fig. 8 A, solid arrow) (C-factor), or an indirect interaction (Fig. 8 A, dashed arrows) involving a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent increase in voltage sensor activation (E-factor) that, in turn, promotes channel opening (D-factor). To isolate the direct interaction we measured open probability (\( P_O \)) at very negative voltages in the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\).

**Ca\(^{2+}\) Increases \( P_O \) when Voltage Sensors Are Not Activated**

At sufficiently negative voltages, voltage sensors should remain in the resting (R) state even when Ca\(^{2+}\) is bound. The \( Q_{\text{fast}}^{-1} \) relationships in Fig. 7, C and D, show that the fraction of activated voltage sensors is small \((<10^{-2})\) for \( V < 0 \text{ mV} \) in 0 or 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+}\). With voltage sensors effectively locked in the R conformation, Scheme II reduces to sub-Scheme Ile (Fig. 3), which specifies a 10 state Monod-Wyman-Changeux (MWC)-type gating scheme (Fig. 8 B, sub-Scheme Ile*). Under this extreme condition, channels can open in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner only through direct interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and the C-O transition. At negative voltages \( P_O \) is highly Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive (Figs. 8 and 9), confirming that a strong interaction exists between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and channel opening and providing a direct estimate of the allosteric factor \( C \) that embodies this interaction.

Fig. 8 C compares \( I_k \) recorded at \(-120 \text{ mV} \) from a single patch in various [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. The corresponding amplitude histograms are superimposed in Fig. 8 D. Although the patch contains hundreds of mSlo1 channels, \( P_O \) is low in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) and activity is observed as the infrequent and brief opening of single channels.
Application of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} causes a large increase in open probability, resulting in multichannel openings. NP\textsubscript{O} increases 2,130-fold, from 6.1 \times 10^{-4} in 0 Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to 1.3 in 100 \mu M Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Histograms in Fig. 8 E demonstrate for a different patch at -160 mV that the increase in NP\textsubscript{O} saturates above 100 \mu M Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. 

To characterize the interaction between Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding and channel opening in detail, and to confirm that the P\textsubscript{O} increase in Fig. 8 C can be described by sub-Scheme IIc, channel activity was measured over a range of negative voltages and [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]. Fig. 9 A plots NP\textsubscript{O} versus voltage for the patch in Fig. 8 C at many [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]. The NP\textsubscript{O}V relations become weakly voltage dependent at negative potentials, consistent with the assumption that voltage sensors are not activated under these conditions (Horrigan et al., 1999). This limiting behavior is also seen in Fig. 9 B, which plots log(P\textsubscript{O}) over a wider voltage range at different [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] from many experiments (mean \pm SEM).

Fig. 9, A and B, show that P\textsubscript{O} increases >1,000-fold in response to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} at negative potentials. Thus Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding strongly affects channel opening through a pathway that does not involve voltage sensor activation. Sub-Scheme IIc predicts:

$$P_0 = \frac{L(1 + KC)^4}{L(1 + KC)^4 + (1 + K)^4}.$$  

Because P\textsubscript{O} at extreme negative voltages is small (<10\textsuperscript{-7}), even in saturating [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], this expression can be approximated:
Since the C-O equilibrium constant (L) is the only voltage-dependent parameter in sub-Scheme IIc, the voltage dependence of \( P_0 \) should be identical to that of L and independent of \([Ca^{2+}]\). Consistent with this prediction, the \( NP_0-V \) relations in Fig. 9 A at all \([Ca^{2+}]\) can be fit reasonably by exponential functions (dashed lines) with identical voltage dependencies (\( e \)-fold per 84 mV) reflecting the partial charge of L (\( z_L = 0.3 \) e).

\[
P_0 = L \left(1 + KC\right)^4 / \left(1 + K\right).
\]

(Determining the Parameters Associated with \( Ca^{2+} \)-dependent Activation (\( K_D, C \))

The parameters that define sub-Scheme IIc (\( L, K_D, C \)) are well determined by the properties of \( P_0 \) at extreme negative voltages. L can be measured directly in 0 \( Ca^{2+} \) where Eq. 3 reduces to \( P_0 = L \). Then \( K_D \) and C can be determined from the \( Ca^{2+} \) dependence of \( P_0 \) (Eq. 3). However, for some experiments (e.g., Fig. 9 A), \( P_0 \) could not be determined because macroscopic currents were large and the number of channels in the patch (N) could not be estimated accurately. Errors in the estimation of N in other experiments may contribute to the variability in \( P_0 \) at negative voltages in Fig. 9 B. Therefore, to evaluate \( K_D \) and C independent of N, we determined the ratio (\( R_0 \)) of \( NP_0 \) in the presence and absence of \( Ca^{2+} \) where (based on Eq. 3):

\[
R_0([Ca^{2+}]) = \frac{NP_0[V,[Ca^{2+}]]}{{NP_0[V,0]}} = \frac{1 + KC[Ca^{2+}]_{sat}/K_D}{1 + [Ca^{2+}]_{sat}/K_D} \text{ } ^4
\]

\( R_0 \) is independent of L as well as N and therefore depends only on \( Ca^{2+} \) binding \( (K_D) \) and its interaction with channel opening \((C)\).

The Energetic Relationship between \( Ca^{2+} \) Binding and Channel Opening

The ability of \( Ca^{2+} \) to increase \( P_0 \) at extreme negative voltages shows that \( Ca^{2+} \) binding affects channel opening directly and provides an estimate of the interaction energy between these two processes. A C-fold increase in C-O equilibrium constant where C = 8 indicates that the free energy difference between closed and open increases 1.25 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for each \( Ca^{2+} \) bound (\( \Delta \Delta G_{CO} \) = 0.6 ln[C]) or 5.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the \( Ca^{2+} \)-saturated condition.

The \( Ca^{2+} \) Dependence of \( V_h(P_0) \)

Another measure of BK channel gating commonly used to characterize \( Ca^{2+} \) sensitivity is the half-activation voltage of the \( P_0-V \) relationship (\( V_h(P_0) \)) (Moczydlowski and Latorre, 1983). Fig. 9 D plots mean values of \( V_h(P_0) \) and log(\( R_0 \)) against \([Ca^{2+}]\). For certain gating schemes, a simple relationship between \( V_h(P_0) \) and log(\( R_0 \)) is expected. For instance, a voltage-dependent MWC scheme (sub-Scheme IIc) predicts \( \Delta V_h(P_0[Ca^{2+}]) = -(kT/z_e) \ln(R_0) \) where \( \Delta V_h(P_0[Ca^{2+}]) = V_h(P_0[Ca^{2+}]) - V_h(P_0[0]) \). That is, changes in \( V_h(P_0) \) and log(\( R_0 \)) should be directly proportional. However, this prediction is not generally valid for Scheme II. And, although the two dose-response curves in Fig. 9 D are similar in shape, differences are evident. First, \( V_h(P_0) \) is more \( Ca^{2+} \)-sensitive than log(\( R_0 \)). That is, when the two curves are scaled as in Fig. 9 D to give identical Y-axis excursions between 0 and 100 \( \mu \)M \( Ca^{2+} \), \( V_h(P_0) - [Ca^{2+}] \) is left-shifted relative to log(\( R_0 \))-[\( Ca^{2+} \)]. Such a difference is predicted by Scheme II (Fig. 9 D, solid line) because \( V_h(P_0) \), unlike \( R_0 \), is measured under conditions where voltage sensors are activated, thereby producing an E-fold increase in \( Ca^{2+} \) affinity. Another difference between the dose-response curves in Fig. 9 D is that log(\( R_0 \)) saturates for \([Ca^{2+}] \geq 100 \mu \)M, as predicted by Eq. 4, whereas \( V_h(P_0) \) has a biphasic appearance, beginning to saturate around 100 \( \mu \)M \( Ca^{2+} \) but continuing to change by more than 30 mV between 100 and 1,000 \( \mu \)M \( Ca^{2+} \). The failure of \( V_h(P_0) \) to saturate has been noted previously (Moczydlowski and Latorre, 1983; Cox et al., 1997a; Cui et al., 1997; Schreiber and Salkoff, 1997) and can be accounted for by the action of \( Ca^{2+} \) at distinct high affinity (micromolar) and low affinity (millimolar) binding sites (dotted line Fig. 9 D) (Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001).

The apparent saturation of log(\( R_0 \)) in 100–1,000 \( \mu \)M \( Ca^{2+} \) is consistent with \( R_0 \), reflecting the action of \( Ca^{2+} \) at high affinity binding sites. The differential effect of 100–1,000 \( \mu \)M \( Ca^{2+} \) on \( V_h(P_0) \) and log(\( R_0 \)) has implications for the mechanism of \( Ca^{2+} \) action at low affinity binding sites that will be discussed below.
Scheme II Reproduces the Ca$^{2+}$ and Voltage Dependence of $P_O$

Thus far we have examined the gating of mSlo1 channels under extreme conditions to isolate interactions involved in Ca$^{2+}$-dependent activation and to test a general allosteric gating mechanism (Scheme II). Our results show that the interaction between Ca$^{2+}$ binding and channel opening is strong and independent of voltage sensor activation. The interaction between Ca$^{2+}$ binding and voltage sensor activation is weak and independent of channel opening.

Together with previous analysis of the voltage-dependent gating of unliganded channels (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999) these results support Scheme II. However, an important test of the model is its ability to describe the combined effects of Ca$^{2+}$ and voltage over a broad range of conditions. In general, the Ca$^{2+}$ and voltage dependence of $P_O$ will depend on all the parameters in Scheme II (Eq. 1). Thus, by fitting $P_O(V,[Ca^{2+}])$ it is theoretically possible to test the model and define its parameters. Excellent fits to the $P_O$-$V$ relationships for $[Ca^{2+}] \leq 100 \mu M$ were obtained (Fig. 10 A) when $L_0$ and $z_L$ were set to $2 \times 10^{-6}$ and 0.4 $e$, respectively, based on previous estimates (Horrigan et al., 1999) and all other parameters were allowed to vary (Table II, Fit A). However several parameters in addition to $L_0$ and $z_L$ are poorly constrained by this procedure and the ability of Eq. 1 to fit $P_O$ is an inadequate test of Scheme II. Although the values of $z_L$ (0.55 $e$, Fig. 10 B) (154 mV), and D (18.4) obtained from the fit are similar to those estimated previously from 0 Ca$^{2+}$ data ($z_L = 0.55 e$, $V_{1/2}(j) = 145$ mV, and $D = 17$) (Horrigan et al., 1999), the values of C (2.4) and $K_0$ (32 $\mu M$) are inconsistent with the log($R_{III}$)-[Ca$^{2+}$] relationship (Fig. 10 B, Fit A). And the value of E (31) predicts a $-158$ mV shift in the $Q_{ss}$-$V$ relationship upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding, much larger than the observed $-33$ mV shift in 70 $\mu M$ Ca$^{2+}$.

One reason why the $P_O$ fit fails to constrain $L_0$, $z_L$, C, $K_0$ is that it places little weight on the data at extreme negative voltages where $P_O$ is small. These parameters...
are better constrained by fitting \( \log(P_O) \). Obviously the fit also excludes information available from gating currents. The final parameters assigned to Scheme II (Table II, Fit B) are a compromise determined by constraining many of the parameters within a restricted range and then fitting both \( P_O \) and \( \log(P_O) \) simultaneously in an attempt to weigh equally the data at extreme negative voltage and near \( V_h(P_O) \). Fig. 10 C plots \( P_O \) (Fig. 10 C1) and \( \log(P_O) \) (Fig. 10 C2) together with the predictions of Scheme II (lines). The parameters were restricted as follows:

\[
V_h(j), \text{ the voltage where } J = 1 \quad (V_h(j) = (kT/z_f) \ln(j_0)),
\]

was restricted to a range from 145 to 155 mV. The upper limit corresponds to the half activation voltage of \( Q_{sat}\) determined from gating currents in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\). The lower limit is based on previous analysis that suggests \( V_h(j) \) may be reduced slightly under the ionic conditions used to measure \( P(O) \) (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999).

\( z_f \), the voltage sensor charge was set to 0.58 \( e \) representing the mean value determined from Boltzmann fits to \( Q_{sat} \) values in 0 and 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+}\).

\( C \) and \( K_D \) were set to 8 and 11 \( \mu M \), respectively, based on a fit to the \( R_{57}[Ca^{2+}] \) data where \( C \) was fixed and \( K_D \) allowed to vary (Fig. 9 C). \( C \) was chosen as the maximum value judged consistent with the amplitude range of \( R_{57} \) because higher \( C \) consistently produced better fits to \( P_O(V,[Ca^{2+}]) \) by least-squares criteria. In addition, since \( C \) and \( E \) both contribute to the \( Ca^{2+} \)-dependent shift in \( V_h(P_O) \), higher values of \( C \) allowed \( E \) to be reduced to a value (2.4) consistent with gating current measurements (see below). Allowing \( K_D \) to vary during the \( P_OV \) fits consistently produced higher values (15–16 \( \mu M \)) than indicated by the \( R_{57}[Ca^{2+}] \) data (11 \( \mu M \)). This may reflect the contribution of low affinity Ca\(^{2+} \)-binding sites estimated by Zhang et al. (2001) to shift \( V_h(P_O) \) by \(-7 \) mV in 100 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+}\). When \( P_OV \) data in 100, 70, 21, and 10 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+}\) were shifted by \(-7, \) \(-5, \) \(-2, \) \(-1 \) mV to correct for the effect of low affinity sites, a lower \( K_D \) of 12 \( \mu M \) was obtained from \( P_OV \) fits, consistent with the \( R_{57} \) data.

Equation 3 reduces to \( P_O = L = L_0 \exp(-z_fV/kT) \). As discussed later, is constrained by the shape of the \( \log(P_O)-V \) relationship.

Using the above constraints Scheme II does a reasonable job of reproducing \( P_O \) over a broad range of conditions while also reproducing results obtained under extreme conditions. \( \log(P_O) \) is well fit at all \([Ca^{2+}] \) (Fig. 10 C) although fits to \( P_O \) tend to be too steep in intermediate \([Ca^{2+}] \) (e.g., 10 \( \mu M \)). The allosteric factors \( C = 8 \) and \( E = 2.4 \) demonstrate that a combination of strong direct and weak indirect interactions between Ca\(^{2+} \) binding and channel opening is sufficient to account for the Ca\(^{2+}-dependent shift in \( V_h(P_O) \) (Fig. 9 D).

We also attempted to fit the data with \( E = 1 \) (Table II, Fit C) to determine whether weak interaction between Ca\(^{2+} \) binding sites and voltage sensors is necessary for obtaining an adequate fit. In this case, increasing \( C \) from 8 to 11 was sufficient to reproduce the Ca\(^{2+}-dependent shift in \( V_h(P_O) \) (unpublished data). However, such an increase in \( C \) is inconsistent with the Ca\(^{2+} \)-dependence of \( R_{57} \). Predicting a larger increase in \( R_{57} \) than is actually observed (Fig. 10 B, Fit C). Thus, a small but significant interaction between Ca\(^{2+} \) binding and voltage sensor activation is required to account for the Ca\(^{2+} \)-dependence of \( P_O \).

The parameters used to fit steady-state \( P_O \) (Table II, Fit B) also account for the change in shape and shift of the steady-state \( QV \) relation along the voltage axis in response to 70 \( \mu M \) Ca\(^{2+}\) as shown in Fig. 10 D. Ca\(^{2+}\) alters the relationship between open probability and charge movement by increasing the Ca\(^{2+}-O \) equilibrium, thus increasing the likelihood that channels will open at voltages where voltage sensors are not activated. Thus, the predominant activation pathway is altered by Ca\(^{2+}\) as illustrated in Fig. 10 E.

In the following section (Figs. 11–15) we test Scheme II further and refine the parameters by fitting the model to additional features of the ionic and gating current data.

### The Reciprocity of Allosteric Interactions

A fundamental prediction of an allosteric mechanism (Scheme II) is the reciprocal nature of sensor–gate interactions. That is, if Ca\(^{2+} \) and voltage affect channel opening then channel opening should also affect Ca\(^{2+} \) binding and voltage sensor movement. Specifically, opening should increase the affinity of binding sites for Ca\(^{2+}\)-C fold, and increase the equilibrium constant for voltage binding. The Recipr...
sensor activation D-fold (Fig. 3, compare sub-Schemes IIe and IIf). The first prediction cannot be tested directly because we observe the binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) indirectly via its effects on channel function. As discussed later, the differential sensitivity of \(I_{K}\) to Ca\(^{2+}\) observed at extreme positive and negative voltages is consistent with an increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) binding affinity upon channel opening. Technical factors prevent detailed comparison of gating charge movement in open versus closed channels. However, several lines of evidence (below) confirm that channel opening affects voltage sensor movement in a manner predicted by Scheme II.

**Channel Opening Promotes Voltage Sensor Activation**

The change in relationship between \(Q_{\mathrm{SS}}-V\) and \(P_{O}-V\) (Fig. 10 D) reflects in large part a marked steepening of \(Q_{\mathrm{SS}}-V\) in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\). This increase in apparent voltage dependence seems surprising given that the shape of the \(P_{O}-V\) and \(Q_{\mathrm{SS}}-V\) relationships are relatively unaffected by Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figs. 4 C2 and 7 C). However, the steepening of \(Q_{\mathrm{SS}}-V\) is explained by the change in voltage sensor activation that is predicted to accompany channel opening.

According to Scheme II, the steady-state charge distribution for closed channels (\(Q_{C}\); approximated by \(Q_{\mathrm{SS}}\) in Fig. 7) is determined by the R-A equilibrium constant \(J\) in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) and \(J_{E}\) in saturating Ca\(^{2+}\) and by the charge associated with voltage sensor activation \((z_{J})\):

\[
\text{in 0 Ca}^{2+}: \quad Q_{C} = 4z_{J}\frac{1}{1+J}
\]

\[
\text{in saturating Ca}^{2+}: \quad Q_{C} = 4z_{J}\frac{J_{E}}{1+J_{E}}
\]

When channels open, charge associated with the C-O transition \((z_{J})\) moves and the R-A equilibrium constant increases D-fold, producing an open channel charge distribution:

---

*Figure 11. Charge distribution of open and closed channels. Normalized plots of \(Q_{C}\), \(Q_{O}\), \(P_{O}\), and \(Q_{\mathrm{SS}}\) versus voltage predicted by Scheme II (Table II, Fit B parameters) are compared in (A) 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) and (B) 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\). (C) A double pulse experiment in 70 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) shows that peak \(I_{g}\) evoked by the second pulse (P2) is larger than that evoked by the first pulse (P1) when the interpulse interval is brief. This behavior is consistent with the predicted difference between \(Q_{C}\) and \(Q_{O}\) as illustrated by the numbered arrows in (D) corresponding to the pulse intervals in C. Arrows 1 and 4 represent the amount of charge that should move in response to a step from -80 to 120 mV if channels are closed or open, respectively.*
in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\):
\[
Q_0 = z_L + 4z_L \left[ \frac{D_L}{I + D_L} \right]
\]  
(5a)

In saturating Ca\(^{2+}\):
\[
Q_0 = z_L + 4z_L \left[ \frac{D_E}{I + D_E} \right]
\]  
(5b)

Channel opening increases the RA equilibrium constant, thus shifting the Q\(_o\)-V to more negative voltages than Q\(_c\)-V with little change in shape (Fig. 11 A and B).

The steady-state charge distribution (Q\(_{ss}\)) can be expressed in general as a sum of Q\(_c\) and Q\(_o\), weighted by open probability:
\[
Q_{ss} = (1 - P_0)Q_c + (P_0)Q_o
\]  
(6)

In 0 Ca\(^{2+}\), P\(_o\) is small at all voltages where Q\(_c\) and Q\(_o\) increase, so Eq. 6 can be approximated:
\[
Q_{ss} = Q_c
\]

Q\(_{ss}\) deviates from Q\(_c\) only at high voltages where P\(_o\) becomes significant and, even then, the Q\(_{ss}\)-V relationship is only slightly steeper than Q\(_c\)-V (Fig. 11 A). In the presence of 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\), however, the relationship between Q\(_c\), Q\(_o\), and P\(_o\) changes (Fig. 11 B). The P\(_o\)-V relation shifts to more negative voltages while Q\(_c\)-V and Q\(_o\)-V are relatively unaffected. Consequently, P\(_o\) increases at voltages where Q\(_c\) is small (Q\(_c\) << 1) and Eq. 6 can be approximated:
\[
Q_{ss} = P_o Q_o
\]

In addition, Scheme II predicts Q\(_o\) will increase at more negative voltages than P\(_o\) and is relatively constant over the voltage-range where P\(_o\) increases most steeply. Thus Q\(_{ss}\) is roughly proportional to P\(_o\). That Q\(_{ss}\) in 0 and 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\) is proportional to Q\(_c\) and P\(_o\) respectively accounts for the marked change in shape of the Q\(_{ss}\)-V relationship upon application of Ca\(^{2+}\).

Although the Q\(_{ss}\)-V relationship does not reveal the voltage dependence of Q\(_o\) in detail, the observation that Q\(_{ss}\)-V and P\(_o\)-V superimpose in 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 10 D) is consistent with Q\(_o\) increasing over a more negative voltage range than P\(_o\), as predicted by Scheme II.

Additional evidence presented below defines the Q\(_o\)-V relationship in more detail.

Channel Opening Produces “Charge Mobilization”

A double pulse gating current experiment in Fig. 11 C provides additional evidence that gating charge distribution differs for open and closed channels. In the presence of 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\), the membrane voltage was stepped to 120 mV for 5 ms, returned to the holding potential (−80 mV) for various durations (0.1–5 ms), and then stepped again to 120 mV. The amplitude of I\(_{on}\) evoked by the first and second pulse (P\(_1\), P\(_2\)) are equal when the interpulse interval is ≥3 ms (Fig. 11 C, dashed line). However, with shorter intervals (0.25–2 ms) peak I\(_{on}\) increases significantly during P\(_2\). A maximal increase in peak I\(_{on}\) of 55% is observed together with a 43% increase in Q\(_{ss}\) using an interpulse interval of 0.5 ms.

The increase in Q\(_{ss}\) observed during the second pulse can be understood in terms of the relationship between Q\(_o\) and Q\(_c\) illustrated in Fig. 11 D. Initially, channels are closed at −80 mV, so Q\(_{ss}\) during P\(_1\) is determined by the voltage dependence of Q\(_o\), and a pulse to 120 mV moves less than half of the total charge rapidly (Fig. 11 D, arrow 1). However, a 5-ms pulse to 120 mV in 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\) is sufficient to activate mSlo1 channels maximally (Fig. 4 C) and to saturate the Q\(_{ss}\)-V relationship (Fig. 6 E). Therefore, additional charge must move as channels open. This slow component of Q\(_{ss}\) can be described in terms of a transition between Q\(_o\) and Q\(_c\) (Fig. 11 D, arrow 2). After P\(_1\), repolarization to −80 mV moves voltage sensors rapidly back to their resting state while many channels remain open (Fig. 11 D, arrow 3) and channels subsequently close. With an interpulse interval of 3 ms or more, most channels are closed at the beginning of P\(_2\), so I\(_{on}\) evoked by P\(_1\) and P\(_2\) are identical. However, as the interpulse interval is reduced, some channels remain open at the beginning of P\(_2\) and an increase in Q\(_{ss}\) is observed because a step from −80 to 120 mV produces a greater increase in Q\(_o\) (Fig. 11 D, arrow 4) than does in Q\(_c\) (arrow 1). That is, P\(_2\) evokes charge movement among open states (O-O), whereas P\(_1\) only evokes charge movement among closed states (C-C).

If all channels remain open and all voltage sensors are deactivated during the interpulse interval, then a 2.5-fold increase in Q\(_{ss}\) would be expected during P\(_2\) (Fig. 11 D, compare arrows 1 and 4). However, with a 0.5-ms interpulse interval only 37% of channels should remain open (P\(_o\) = 0.375) based on I\(_{k}\) deactivation kinetics (τ[I\(_{k}\]) = 0.51 ± 0.04 ms at −80 mV). In addition, 92% of the voltage sensors will reach the resting state (P\(_k\) = 0.92) based on the time constant of voltage sensor deactivation in open channels (τ = 0.2 ms at −80 mV, see Fig. 13). Therefore, a 49% increase in Q\(_{ss}\) is predicted for P\(_2\) similar to the observed 43% increase (Q\(_{ss}\)[P\(_2\)] = [Q\(_{ss}\)(+120) − Q\(_{ss}\)(−80)] P\(_o\) P\(_k\) + [Q\(_{ss}\)(+120) − Q\(_c\)(−80)]) (1 − P\(_o\)) = [(2.5)(0.375)(0.92) + (1 − 0.375)]Q\(_{ss}\)[P\(_1\)] = 1.49 Q\(_{ss}\)[P\(_1\)].

The increase in charge mobilization revealed by the double pulse experiment is consistent with Q\(_o\) being shifted to more negative voltages than Q\(_c\), and further demonstrates that Q\(_o\) increases from −80 to 120 mV. This finding is completely consistent with the proposed allosteric relationship between voltage sensor activation (charge movement) and channel opening.

The Limiting Voltage Dependence of P\(_o\) Reveals the Q\(_o\)-V Relationship

To determine the voltage dependence of Q\(_o\) in more detail we analyzed the voltage dependence of P\(_o\). The mean activation charge displacement <q\(_i\)> can be de-
fined in terms of the logarithmic slope of the $P_o$-$V$ relationship (Sigg and Bezanilla, 1997):

$$\langle q_o \rangle = kT \frac{d \langle \ln(P_o) \rangle}{dV}$$  (7)

Sigg and Bezanilla (1997) derived a general expression for $\langle q_o \rangle$ in terms of the free energy and conductance of each state in an arbitrary gating scheme:

$$\langle q_o \rangle = \left[ \sum_i q_i f_i e^{\left( -\frac{F_i}{kT} \right)} - \sum_i q_i e^{\left( -\frac{F_i}{kT} \right)} \right]$$  (8)

where $F_i = G_i + q_i V$, and $q_i$, $G_i$, and $f_i$ are the charge displacement, free energy at $V = 0$, and fractional conductance ($0 \leq f_i \leq 1$) respectively, of state $i$.

Rearrangement of Eq. 8 yields an expression for $Q_o$ in terms of $\langle q_o \rangle$, $P_o$, and $Q_c$. (Eq. 9, below) provided that all open states have the same conductance (i.e., $f_i = 1$ for open states and $f_i = 0$ for closed states). This appears to be a reasonable assumption for BK channels, since subconductance events are rare (Barrett et al., 1982; Rae et al., 1990) or brief (Ferguson et al., 1993). Thus,

$$Q_o = \langle q_o \rangle + Q_c$$  (9)

where

$$Q_o = \sum_i q_i f_i e^{\left( -\frac{F_i}{kT} \right)}$$

$$Q_c = \sum_i (1 - f_i) e^{\left( -\frac{F_i}{kT} \right)}$$

Combining Eqs. 7 and 9 yields an expression for $Q_o$ in terms of $P_o$ and $Q_c$:
Thus, in theory, $Q_O$ can be determined in a model-independent manner at any voltage and $[Ca^{2+}]$ by measuring $P_O$ and $Q_C$ (i.e., $Q_{oam}$). However, several experimental factors limit the conditions under which Eq. 10 can be evaluated accurately. First, at high voltages and/or $[Ca^{2+}]$ the $P_O$ of BK channels approaches unity (Rotheberg and Magleby, 2000), such that the first term of Eq. 10 becomes large and sensitive to errors in $P_O$. That our experiments measure normalized open probability ($P_O/P_{OMAX}$) rather than absolute $P_O$ introduces an additional systematic error when $P_O$ approaches $P_{OMAX}$. Second, the method of estimating $Q_C$ is model dependent. The voltage dependence of $Q_C$ can be determined from the $Q_{oam}$-V relationship (Fig. 7), if we assume, as in Scheme II, that $Q_{oam}$ represents the movement of four independent and identical voltage sensors. In this case the maximal amplitude of $Q_C$ is $4z_f$, where $z_f$ the voltage sensor charge, can be determined by fitting the $Q_{oam}$-V relationship to the Boltzmann distribution.

$Q_O$ can be determined in a model-independent manner that is insensitive to the use of normalized $P_O$ if we restrict our analysis to conditions where both $P_O$ and $Q_C$ are small. If $P_O << 1$, Eq. 10 reduces to:

$$Q_0 = \frac{kT}{1-P_0}\left[ \frac{d(ln(P_0))}{dV} \right] + Q_C \quad (10)$$

If, in addition, $Q_C << Q_O$, then $Q_O$ can be approximated by the mean activation charge displacement:

$$Q_0 = kT \frac{d(ln(P_0))}{dV} = \langle q_0 \rangle. \quad (11)$$

According to Scheme II, $Q_O$ should increase to roughly half of its maximal value before $Q_C$ or $P_O$ become significant (i.e., $>10^{-3}$) (see Fig. 11, A and B). Thus, over a range of negative voltages, the $Q_{oam}$-V relationship can be determined solely from the voltage dependence of $P_O$. Since Eq. 11 is insensitive to the scaling of $P_O$, normalized $P_O$ or NP can be used without introducing additional errors. Since Eq. 11 is independent of $Q_C$, evaluation of $Q_O$ is not restricted to those $[Ca^{2+}]$ where gating currents have been measured.

Fig. 12 A plots $<q_O>$ determined from mean $P_O$ in 0 and 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 9 B). The $<q_O>$-V relations are bell-shaped and are expected to approximate the $Q_{oam}$-V relation only at negative voltages where $Q_C$ and $P_O$ are small and Eq. 11 is valid (i.e., $V < \approx 0$ in 0 Ca$^{2+}$, $V < -50$ in 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$). The $Q_{oam}$-V relationships are estimated (Fig. 12 A, solid lines) by fitting the foot of the $<q_O>$-V curves with functions of the form:

$$Q_0 = q_0 + q_1 B[V], \quad (12)$$

where $B[V] = [1 + e^{(V-V_H+z_kT/z)^{-1}}]$ is a Boltzmann function characterized by a half-activation voltage ($V_H$) and gating valence ($z$), whereas $q_0$ and $q_1$ represent the amplitudes of the voltage-independent and voltage-dependent components of $Q_O$, respectively. The prediction of Scheme II (Eq. 5) can be expressed in terms of Eq. 12 when $q_0 = z_f, q_1 = 4z_f, z = z_f$ and $V_h = (kT/z_f)ln(DJ_o)$ in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ or $V_h = (kT/z_f)ln(DEj_o)$ in saturating Ca$^{2+}$. $<q_O>$ is very sensitive to experimental discontinuities in the $P_{Oam}$-V relationship. Consequently, increased variation in $<q_O>$ is observed near the potassium reversal potential (0 mV), where $P_O$ was not measured, and near the peak of the $<q_O>$-V relationship corresponding to the transition between microscopic and macroscopic $P_O$ measurements. These local regions of increased variability can strongly influence $Q_{oam}$-V fits, especially the estimated amplitude of $Q_O$ ($q_1$ in Eq. 12). Therefore, to limit the effects of local variation, the $<q_O>$-V relationships were fit first with approximating functions (Fig. 12 A and B, dashed lines) to provide smoothly varying curves through the data. The $Q_{oam}$-V relationships were then determined from the foot of these curves rather than from individual data points. The approximating functions represent the derivative with respect to voltage of fits to the mean log($P_O$)-V data (Fig. 9 B, solid lines) using a 10-state gating scheme (Scheme I*, Fig. 1 B) where all the parameters ($I_{oam}, z_f, J_{oam}, z_f, D$) were allowed to vary freely at each $[Ca^{2+}]$. In this case, Scheme I was used simply to reproduce the shapes of the log($P_O$)-V and $<q_O>$-V relations; the parameters have no mechanistic meaning. Although fits produced in this way appear to be excellent, it is possible that the use of a particular approximating function biases the $Q_{oam}$-V fits. Similar $Q_{oam}$-V curves were obtained when the approximation function was determined by smoothing spline interpolation (Reinsch, 1967) (unpublished data). However, this alternative method required subjective adjustment of smoothing parameters at each $[Ca^{2+}]$, thus fits to Scheme I* based on least squares criteria were more consistent.

$Q_O$ Conforms That Channel Opening and Ca$^{2+}$ Binding Increase the Voltage Sensor Equilibrium

As predicted by Scheme II, the $Q_{Oam}$-V relationship is similar in shape to the $Q_{oam}$-V relationship but shifted to more negative voltages, consistent with an increase in the R-A equilibrium constant upon channel opening. In 0 Ca$^{2+}$, $Q_{Oam}$-V is characterized by a valence $z = 0.60$ e (Fig. 12 A) indistinguishable from that estimated for the $Q_{Oam}$-V relationship ($z_f = 0.59 \pm 0.03$ e, 0 Ca$^{2+}$; $z_f = 0.57 \pm 0.03$ e, 70 Ca$^{2+}$). The amplitude of the voltage-dependent component of $Q_O$ ($q_1 = 2.19$ e, 0 Ca$^{2+}$; $q_1 = 2.16$ e, 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$) is also similar to that predicted by Scheme II ($4z_f = 2.32$ e). Finally, $V_h(Q_O)$ shifts by $-43$ mV in 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$, similar to the $-33$ mV shift in $Q_O$ determined from admittance
analysis (Fig. 7 F). Thus, the limiting voltage dependence of $P_o$ confirms that Ca$^{2+}$ binding has a small direct effect on voltage sensor movement.

To examine further the Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of $Q_o$, $\langle q_o \rangle$ was plotted in different [Ca$^{2+}$] from 0 to 100 µM (Fig. 12 B) and $Q_oV$ was determined (solid lines) as in Fig. 12 A. The $Q_oV$ relations shift along the voltage axis in a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent manner while maintaining similar shapes and amplitudes. The parameters $q_o$, $q_1$, and $z$ derived from the $Q_oV$ fits are plotted against [Ca$^{2+}$] in Fig. 12 C. Mean values of each parameter are indicated by dashed lines ($q_o = 0.17 \pm 0.02$, $q_1 = 2.28 \pm 0.06$, $z = 0.72 \pm 0.03$).

Ca$^{2+}$ Alters the Apparent Voltage Dependence of Channel Opening and Voltage Sensor Activation

Although the results in Fig. 12, A and B, are generally consistent with Scheme II, several details are not. Ca$^{2+}$ appears to increase both the steepness of the $Q_oV$ relationship ($z$) and the amplitude of the voltage-independent component ($q_0$) (Fig. 12 C). According to Scheme II these parameters, reflecting the voltage dependence of channel opening ($z_q$) and voltage sensor activation ($z_\ell$), respectively, should be Ca$^{2+}$-independent (compare Eqs. 5a and b). Below, we consider refinements to Scheme II that could conceivably account for these results. However, given the possibilities for measurement error in $Q_o$ and the failure of other types of data to confirm a Ca-dependent change in $z_q$ or $z_\ell$, the necessity of altering Scheme II is questionable.

$z$ or $q_0$ might increase with Ca$^{2+}$ if Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites are displaced through the membrane electric field when mSlo1 channels change conformation. That is, Ca$^{2+}$ ions might contribute to the gating charge associated with voltage sensor activation ($z_q$) or channel opening ($z_\ell$). However, several lines of evidence argue against this possibility. In the case of voltage sensor activation, $Q_oV$ relationships in 0 and 70 µM Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 7 C) are characterized by similar valence (0.59 $\pm$ 0.07 e), indicating that $z_\ell$ in closed channels is not altered by Ca$^{2+}$ binding. Similarly, the voltage-dependent component of $Q_o$ ($q_0$), representing the total voltage sensor charge when channels are open, is relatively unaffected by Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 12 C). In the case of the C-O transition, $I_k$ kinetics appear inconsistent with a large Ca$^{2+}$-dependent change in $z_\ell$, suggesting that $q_0$ in low Ca$^{2+}$ may be underestimated (see below).

Although the $Q_oV$ relationships in Fig. 12 B are similar to one another, they are derived from $P_o$ measurements that differ by three orders of magnitude (Fig. 9 B). It is conceivable that the apparent Ca$^{2+}$-dependence of $z$ and $q_0$ reflects systematic measurement errors correlated with a large Ca$^{2+}$-dependent increase in $P_o$. Although a particular source of error is not evident, the variance of log($P_o$) is greatest in low Ca$^{2+}$ and it is likely that sources of error that contribute to $P_o$ in low Ca$^{2+}$ are negligible in high Ca$^{2+}$. One potential source of error in $q_0$, evident from Fig. 12, A and B, is that the data do not in general extend to sufficiently negative voltages to achieve saturation of $\langle q_o \rangle$. Thus, $q_0$ is extrapolated from a Boltzmann fit to $\langle q_o \rangle > V$ and is not measured directly.

A possible mechanism for the Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of $z$ is that Ca$^{2+}$ binding increases the cooperativity of voltage sensor activation. Cooperativity could increase the steepness of $Q_oV$ ($z$) without altering the total open channel charge ($q_0$). In the extreme case that voltage sensor activation is fully cooperative (i.e., concerted), we expect $z/q_1 = 1$; in contrast, an independent mechanism such as Scheme II predicts $z/q_1 = 0.25$. Fig. 12 D plots the ratio $z/q_1$ against [Ca$^{2+}$]. From 0 to 1 µM Ca$^{2+}$, $z/q_1$ remains relatively constant with a mean value $0.28 \pm 0.003$, consistent with an independent mechanism (Fig. 12 D, dashed line). But $z/q_1$ increases with [Ca$^{2+}$] to a maximum of 0.41. The data are fit by a Hill equation (solid line) with $n = 1.6$ and $K_{1/2} = 8.1$ µM, similar to the $K_d$ determined from the $R_o[Ca^{2+}]$ relationship. These results are consistent with the idea that Ca$^{2+}$ binding increases the cooperativity of voltage sensor activation. Cooperativity might also explain why the $P_oV$ relationships in high Ca$^{2+}$ tend to be steeper than predicted by Scheme II (Fig. 10 C).

The Maximum Voltage Dependence of $P_o$ Underestimates Total Gating Charge in a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent Manner

For channels containing a single open state, $\langle q_o \rangle$ is expected to attain a maximum at limiting negative voltages. This “limiting slope” indicates the total gating charge ($Q_\ell$) associated with channel activation representing the sum of charges associated with voltage sensor activation and channel opening (Almers, 1978; Sigg and Bezanilla, 1997). However, in mSlo1 channels the limiting and maximal values of $\langle q_o \rangle$ are different and neither provides a direct measure of $Q_\ell$. The limiting slope merely indicates the charge associated with the C-O transition ($z_\ell$). And $Q_\ell$ representing the maximum amplitude of $Q_o$, is always greater than peak $\langle q_o \rangle$ (Fig. 12, A and B). Since $Q_\ell$ must be estimated in a model-dependent manner by fitting $Q_oV$ to the foot of the $\langle q_o \rangle > V$ relationship it is of interest to determine to what extent peak $\langle q_o \rangle$ underestimates $Q_\ell$. Fig. 12 E plots peak $\langle q_o \rangle$ (solid symbols) determined from Fig. 12 B against [Ca$^{2+}$] and demonstrates that the maximal voltage dependence of $P_o$ varies with [Ca$^{2+}$], attaining a maximum ($\sim$2.1 e) at intermediate [Ca$^{2+}$] and a minimum ($\sim$1.5 e) at high [Ca$^{2+}$]. The allosteric model (Scheme II) reproduces this behavior (Fig. 12 E, solid line) and shows that peak $\langle q_o \rangle$ underestimates the value of $Q_\ell$ in the model (dashed line, $Q_\ell = 4z_j + z_\ell = 2.62$ e) by 26–44%, depending on [Ca$^{2+}$].
Effects of Channel Opening on the Kinetics of OFF Charge Movement

The voltage and Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of Q_\text{OFF} and Q_\text{OFFS} indicate that channel opening strongly affects voltage sensor activation, whereas Ca\(^{2+}\) binding does not (i.e., D >> E in Scheme II). That 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) greatly slows the decay of I_\text{gOFF} following a 1-ms pulse to 160 mV (Fig. 5 A) may appear to contradict the conclusion that direct interaction between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites and voltage sensors is weak. However, the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitivity of OFF charge movement can be understood in terms of an indirect interaction, mediated by the allosteric factors C and D in Scheme II, whereby Ca\(^{2+}\) promotes channel opening and channel opening affects voltage sensor movement.

In the presence of 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\), OFF currents evoked after pulses to 160 mV decrease in amplitude and decay more slowly as pulse duration is increased (Figs. 5 B and 13 A). This phenomenon is also evident in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) at more positive pulse voltages, is associated with the time course of channel opening, and is predicted by an allosteric voltage-gating mechanism (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). Channel opening biases the R-A equilibrium toward the activated state, with the result that voltage sensor deactivation, and therefore OFF charge movement, is slowed and peak I_\text{gOFF} is reduced.

The effect of pulse duration on I_\text{gOFF} in Fig. 5 B is more prominent in 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) than in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) because Ca\(^{2+}\) promotes channel opening. OFF currents evoked after brief pulses, when channels remain closed, decay rapidly in the presence or absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5 B) because Ca\(^{2+}\) has little direct effect on voltage sensor deactivation kinetics (Fig. 7 E, compare τ_\text{fast} at −80 mV in 0 and 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\)). The marked slowing of I_\text{gOFF} in 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) after a 1-ms pulse (Fig. 5 A) reflects that channel opening is both more complete and more rapid in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Therefore, the effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) on I_\text{gOFF} in Fig. 5 A reflects a difference in voltage sensor deacti-
vibration for open and closed channels, rather than a direct effect of Ca$^{2+}$ on voltage sensor movement.

**Multiple Components of OFF Gating Charge Movement**

The effects of channel opening on OFF charge movement in 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$ are examined in more detail in Fig. 13. OFF currents evoked at −80 mV following pulses to 120 mV of different duration (0.11–20 ms) are superimposed on an expanded timescale in Fig. 13 A and exhibit a slowing in the decay of $I_{\text{OFF}}$ that saturates for pulses of ≥5 ms duration. The integrals of these traces ($Q_{\text{OFF}}$, Fig. 13 B) reveal an additional slow component of charge relaxation after prolonged depolarizations that is not obvious in the $I_{\text{OFF}}$ records and requires ~4 ms to reach a steady-state.

The kinetics of $Q_{\text{OFF}}$ relaxation were analyzed by plotting the quantity $Q_{\text{OFF}}(t)-Q_{\text{OFFSS}}$ on a semi-log scale (Fig. 13, C and E), where $Q_{\text{OFFSS}}$ is the steady-state value of $Q_{\text{OFF}}$. Fig. 13 C compares OFF kinetics after the most brief (0.11 ms) and prolonged (5–20 ms) depolarizations. The 5–20-ms trace is fit by a double exponential function (solid line) with time constants of 200 and 810 μs (dashed lines) termed “Medium” and “Slow” components. The 0.11-ms record is fit by a tri-exponential function with a primary “Fast” 25-μs component (dashed line) in addition to the 200- and 810-μs components. Qualitatively similar results were reported previously in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999).

These kinetics can be understood in terms of sub-Scheme IIb* (Fig. 13 D). A prolonged depolarization to 120 mV in 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$ is sufficient to activate mSlo1 channels maximally. Therefore, the biexponential decay of $Q_{\text{OFF}}$ after a 5–20-ms pulse represents the relaxation of open channels back to their resting state and is determined by voltage sensor deactivation while channels are open (O-O transitions labeled Medium in Fig. 13 D) and by channel closing (Slow). A brief depolarization is sufficient to activate voltage sensors but does not allow many channels to open. Thus, the decay of $Q_{\text{OFF}}$ after a 0.11-ms pulse is dominated by a Fast component representing the deactivation of voltage sensors while channels are closed (C-C transitions labeled Fast in Fig. 13 D).

Sub-Scheme IIb* predicts that $Q_{\text{OFF}}$ measured after pulses of intermediate duration will be best fit by a combination of all three time constants, since some channels will be open and some will be closed. Fig. 13 E shows that $Q_{\text{OFF}}(t)-Q_{\text{OFFSS}}$ derived from the OFF currents in Fig. 13 A for all pulse durations can be fit by tri-exponential functions with 25-, 200-, and 810-μs time constants. The amplitudes of the different exponential components are plotted versus pulse duration in Fig. 13 F. The fast component increases rapidly as voltage sensors are activated and then decreases as channels leave the closed state. At the same time, the Medium and Slow components increase in parallel reflecting the increased occupancy of open states. The time courses of the amplitudes of all three components are fit by exponential functions with a time constant of 1.4 ms, representing the kinetics of channel opening.

**The Kinetics of $I_K$ Relaxation**

In response to a voltage step, $I_K$ activates or deactivates with an exponential time course after a brief delay (Fig. 4 B) (Horrigan et al., 1999). The $\tau(I_K)$-V relationship characterizing this relaxation exhibits a complex voltage dependence. Increasing Ca$^{2+}$ from 0 to 70 μM shifts the relation with little effect on its shape (Fig. 4 D). A similar pattern is observed at intermediate [Ca$^{2+}$] (Fig. 14 A).

$I_K$ kinetics were characterized primarily in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ or high Ca$^{2+}$ (70–100 μM) and the data at intermediate [Ca$^{2+}$] often do not include the most positive voltages. Nonetheless, trends in the behavior of $\tau(I_K)$ are evident that help to constrain the parameters in Scheme II and provide important information about the Ca$^{2+}$- and voltage dependence of gating. In particular, the response of $\tau(I_K)$ to Ca$^{2+}$ at negative voltages suggests that a complex relationship exists between the Ca$^{2+}$- and voltage dependence of the rate limiting C-O transition.

**The Voltage Dependence of $\tau(I_K)$**

If voltage sensor activation and Ca$^{2+}$ binding are fast and assumed to equilibrate on the timescale of channel opening, then $\tau(I_K)$ can be written (Cox et al., 1997a; Horrigan et al., 1999):

$$\tau(I_K) = \left[ \sum (\delta_{ij}pC_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}pO_{ij}) \right]^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $i$ and $j$ represent the number of activated voltage sensors and occupied Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites, respectively, $\delta_{ij}$ and $\gamma_{ij}$ are forward and backward rate constants for the C$_i$-O$_j$ transitions and pC$_{ij}$, pO$_{ij}$ are conditional occupancies of the open and closed states (pC$_{ij}$ = p(C$_{ij}|C)$, pO$_{ij}$ = p(O$_{ij}|O$)). Comparison of $I_k$ and $I_K$ kinetics in 0 and 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$ supports the notion that voltage sensor activation is always faster than channel opening. The exponential relaxation of $I_k$ and the similar voltage dependence of $\tau(I_K)$ in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$ are consistent with the assumption that Ca$^{2+}$ binding is fast and that $\tau(I_K)$ is not limited by Ca$^{2+}$-binding transitions (Cox et al., 1997a).

The similar shape of $\tau(I_K)$-V relations in different [Ca$^{2+}$] is not surprising since, in the presence or absence of Ca$^{2+}$, $\tau(I_K)$ is governed by voltage sensor activation and by voltage-dependent changes in the rate constants for the C-O transition. These two processes define four regions in the $\tau(I_K)$-V relationship (Eqs. 14–17 below).
At negative voltages where forward rate constants \((\delta_j)\) are small compared with backward rates constants \((\gamma_j)\) Eq. 13 reduces to:

\[
\tau(I_k) = \left(\sum \gamma_{ij} pO_{ij}\right)^{-1}. \tag{14}
\]

Conversely, at positive voltages the backward rates are small, and Eq. 13 reduces to:

\[
\tau(I_k) = \left(\sum \delta_{ij} pC_{ij}\right)^{-1}. \tag{15}
\]

The equilibrium constants and rate constants for the C-O transitions vary with the number of activated voltage sensors. Consequently, Eqs. 14 and 15 define regions to either side of the peak in the \(\tau(I_k)\)-V relationship where the voltage dependence of \(\tau(I_k)\) is steepest, reflecting that different C-O transitions dominate \(I_k\) relaxation at different voltages (Horrigan et al., 1999). At more extreme potentials, by contrast, voltage sensor activation is saturated, and \(\tau(I_k)\) reflects only the weak voltage dependence of the C-O rate constants (Eqs. 16 and 17 below).

At extreme negative voltages where voltage sensors are not activated \((i = 0, \text{Fig. 3 sub-Scheme IIC})\), Eq. 14 simplifies to:

\[
\tau_N(I_k) = \left(\sum \gamma_{0j} pO_{0j}\right)^{-1}. \tag{16}
\]

Thus, \(\tau_N\) depends only on the closing rate constants and the distribution of Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound open states. Eq. 16 is valid when voltage sensors in the open channel are not activated and \(O_0\) is therefore at a minimum. Based on Fig. 12 B, this condition is satisfied for all [Ca\(^{2+}\)] at voltages less than approximately −150 mV. Consistent with this prediction, \(\tau(I_k)\) in all [Ca\(^{2+}\)] exhibits a similar exponential voltage dependence for \(V < −150\) mV (Fig. 14 A), presumably reflecting the voltage dependence of the closing rates \((\gamma_j)\). In Fig. 14 B the mean \(\tau(I_k)\)-V relationships in 0, 1, and 70 µM Ca\(^{2+}\) are fit (dashed lines) by exponential functions \((\tau_V = \exp(z_N V/kT))\) from −300 to −150 mV. The exponential voltage dependence of \(\tau_N\) was shown previously to extend to at least −500 mV in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) (Horrigan et al., 1999). The partial charges, \(z_N\), determined from fits to individual \(\tau(I_k)\)-V relationships are plotted versus [Ca\(^{2+}\)] in Fig. 14 C (open circles) and exhibit little Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependence, with mean values (solid circles) of −0.124 ± 0.003  e in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) \((n = 21)\) and −0.145 ± 0.007 e in high \((70−100\) µM) Ca\(^{2+}\) \((n = 5)\).

At extreme positive voltages, where all voltage sensors are activated \((i = 4, \text{Fig. 3, sub-Scheme IID})\), Eq. 15 simplifies to:

\[
\tau_P(I_k) = \left(\sum \delta_{ij} pC_{ij}\right)^{-1}. \tag{17}
\]

**Figure 14.** \(I_k\) kinetics. (A) Mean \(\tau(I_k)\)-V relationships for different [Ca\(^{2+}\)] \((\mu M): 0 (●), 0.27 (■), 0.58 (▲), 0.81 (▲), 1.8 (▼), 3.8 (▼), 8.2 (○), 19 (◆), 68 (●), 99 (▲). Dashed lines are fits to exponential functions at extreme positive voltages \((V ≥ 200\) mV in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) or for V ≥ 140 mV in high Ca\(^{2+}\)) \((68\) and 99 µM). (B) Comparison of \(\tau(I_k)\)-V \((\text{mean} ± \text{SEM})\) in 0, 0.81, and 68 µM. Dashed lines are fits to exponential functions between −300 and −150 mV, indicating that the voltage dependence of \(\tau(I_k)\) at extreme negative voltages is not appreciably Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive. However, the voltages where \(\tau(I_k)\) begins to deviate from these fits in 0 and 68 µM Ca\(^{2+}\) differ by approximately −150 mV (arrows). (C) The partial charges determined from exponential fits to \(\tau(I_k)\) at extreme positive \((z_P)\) and negative \((z_N)\) voltages as in A and B, respectively, are plotted against [Ca\(^{2+}\)] and fit by lines \((z_P = 0.203−0.0044 \log([\text{Ca}\(^{2+}\)]), z_N = −0.161−0.0042 \log([\text{Ca}\(^{2+}\)]]). Individual data points (open symbols) and mean ± SEM (solid symbols) are shown. The fits in A and B were constrained to the mean values of \(z_P\) and \(z_N\) respectively for each [Ca\(^{2+}\)].
Thus, $\tau_p$ depends only on the opening rate constants and the distribution of Ca$^{2+}$-bound closed states. Eq. 17 is valid when voltage sensors in closed channels are activated such that $Q_C$ is maximal. Because $Q_C$ saturates at $\sim 250$ mV (Fig. 7 C), $\tau_p$ cannot be measured over a large voltage range. Therefore, the voltage dependence of $\tau_p$ was estimated by fitting the $\tau(I_K)$-V relationships in 0, 70, and 100 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ with exponential functions (dashed lines Fig. 14 A) at voltages where $Q_C$ is not completely saturated (>200 mV, 0 Ca$^{2+}$, >140 mV, 70–100 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$). These fits are consistent with $\tau(I_K)$ at the most positive voltages and are characterized by similar partial charges in different [Ca$^{2+}$] ($z_p$ Fig. 14 C), reflecting the charge associated with opening rate constants. However, $z_p$ may be overestimated slightly because $Q_C$ is not completely saturated.

**Ca$^{2+}$ Mainly Affects Opening Rates at Limiting Negative Voltages**

Fig. 14 B shows that $\tau_N(I_K)$ at $-150$ mV increases approximately twofold as [Ca$^{2+}$] increases from 0 to 70 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$, implying that the closing rate ($\gamma_o$) decreases only twofold when Ca$^{2+}$ is bound (i.e., $\gamma_o/\gamma_{oi} = 2$). By contrast, $P_{o}\rho$ at extreme negative voltages ($-140$ to $-120$ mV) increases $\sim 1,000$-fold (Fig. 9 A). Therefore, the main effect of Ca$^{2+}$ on the C-O transition at negative voltages must be to increase the forward (opening) rates.

$\tau(I_K)$ Reflects the Differential Affinities of Ca$^{2+}$ for Open and Closed Channels

Although the change in $\tau(I_K)$ at negative voltages is small, it is remarkably Ca$^{2+}$ sensitive. Fig. 14 B shows that 1 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ produces an almost maximal increase in $\tau_N(I_K)$, similar to that in 70 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$. By contrast, 1 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ has almost no effect on $\tau(I_K)$ measured at extreme positive voltages (Fig. 14 B). The Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of $\tau(I_K)$ depends on the C-O rate constants as well as the distribution of Ca$^{2+}$-bound states (Eq. 13) and therefore does not provide a direct measure of Ca$^{2+}$ binding. However, the differential Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivities of $\tau_N(I_K)$ and $\tau_p(I_K)$ are qualitatively consistent with an allosteric effect of channel opening on Ca$^{2+}$ binding affinity. $\tau_N(I_K)$ depends on the distribution of Ca$^{2+}$-bound open states (Eq. 16) and should therefore reflect the higher Ca$^{2+}$ affinity of open channels, whereas $\tau_p(I_K)$ will reflect the lower affinity of closed channels (Eq. 17).

The Voltage Dependence of $\tau_N(I_K)$ and $\tau_p(I_K)$ Suggest $z_L$ Is Ca$^{2+}$ Independent and $K_0$ Is Voltage Independent

The charge $q_{o}$ estimated from the limiting voltage dependence of $P_o\rho$ increases with [Ca$^{2+}$] (Fig. 12 C), suggesting that bound Ca$^{2+}$ ions may contribute to gating charge associated with the C-O equilibrium ($z_L$) and, conversely, that Ca$^{2+}$ binding may be voltage dependent. However, the voltage dependence of $\tau_N(I_K)$ and $\tau_p(I_K)$ in different [Ca$^{2+}$] do not support these possibilities and suggest instead that $q_{o}$ in low Ca$^{2+}$ may underestimate $z_L$.

Fig. 14 C plots the partial charges ($z_N$, $z_o$) determined from exponential fits to $\tau_N(I_K)$ and $\tau_p(I_K)$ at different [Ca$^{2+}$]. According to Eqs. 16 and 17, $z_N$ and $z_p$ reflect the voltage dependence of the backward and forward rate constants, respectively, for the C-O transition. In 0 Ca$^{2+}$ and saturating Ca$^{2+}$, $z_N$ and $z_p$ should be determined by single rate-constants (0 Ca$^{2+}$: $z_N = z[\gamma_{oi}]$ and $z_p = z[\delta_{oi}]$, saturating Ca$^{2+}$: $z_N = z[\gamma_{oi}]$ and $z_p = z[\delta_{oi}]$).

Fig. 14 C shows that neither $z_N$ nor $z_p$ are strongly Ca$^{2+}$ sensitive. $z_o$ increases in magnitude 1.16-fold from $-0.124 \pm 0.003$ e in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ ($n = 21$) to an average of $-0.145 \pm 0.007$ e in high (70–100 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$) ($n = 5$). $z_p$ decreases 1.1-fold from 0.24 $\pm 0.02$ e (0 Ca$^{2+}$, $n = 12$) to 0.22 $\pm 0.005$ e in high Ca$^{2+}$ ($n = 6$). The sum $z_T = |z_N| + |z_p|$ is identical in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ and high Ca$^{2+}$ ($z_T = 0.37$ e), suggesting that $z_L$ is not Ca$^{2+}$ dependent. $z_T$ is expected to equal $z_L$ only in the simple case that the C-O transition can be represented as single energy barrier; it is formally possible for $z_T$ to be greater or less than $z_L$. Furthermore, $z_T$ may be underestimated if $z_p$ was not measured at sufficiently positive voltages. Nonetheless, the weak Ca$^{2+}$-sensitivity of $z_N$, $z_p$, and $z_T$ argues against the idea that the partial charge for channel opening ($z_L$) depends on Ca$^{2+}$.

If $z_L$ is not Ca$^{2+}$ dependent, then $q_{o}$, determined from the limiting slope of $P_o\rho$, is probably underestimated in low Ca$^{2+}$. $q_{o}$ in high Ca$^{2+}$ (~0.3 e) is comparable to $z_T$ (0.37 e) and may therefore represent a reasonable estimate of $z_L$. But $q_{o}$ in low Ca$^{2+}$ (~0.1 e) appears underestimated since it is much smaller than $z_T$ and smaller even that $z_N$. As noted above, factors that might contribute to errors in determining $q_{o}$ include failure to measure $P_o\rho$ at sufficiently negative voltages and large Ca$^{2+}$-dependent changes in the magnitude of $P_o\rho$. In contrast, measurements of $z_N$ and $z_p$ are less subject to these types of errors. $z_L$, in particular, should be accurate since $\tau_N(I_K)$ was routinely measured over a large voltage range (~300 to $-150$ mV) where voltage sensors are not activated and $\tau(I_K)$-V is exponential. Moreover, systematic Ca$^{2+}$-dependent errors in the measurement of $z_N$ should be small because the amplitude of $\tau_N(I_K)$ changes only twofold in response to Ca$^{2+}$.

The Ca$^{2+}$ and Voltage Dependence of C-O Transition Kinetics

The effects of Ca$^{2+}$ on steady-state activation and $I_K$ kinetics superficially resemble each other in that the $P_o\rho$-V and $\tau(I_K)$-V relationships are shifted similarly along the voltage axis with little change in shape (Fig. 4, C and D). Although the shift in $P_o\rho$ can be reproduced by assuming Ca$^{2+}$ and voltage act almost independently
Figure 15. The Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and voltage dependence of C-O rate constants. (A) Mean \(\tau(1k)-V\) relationships in 0 and 68 \(\mu M\) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} are fit by Scheme I* (unliganded, Fig. 1B) and sub-Scheme IIb* (Ca\textsuperscript{2+} saturated, Fig. 4E), respectively, with the assumption the voltage sensors are equilibrated (Eq. 13). Each model contains five C-O transitions whose equilibrium constants are defined by the steady-state parameters (Table II, Fit B), increasing D-fold (25-fold) for each voltage sensor activated. (B) The backward rate constants for the C-O transitions (\(\gamma_i\)) determined from the fits are plotted (symbols) versus the number of activated voltage sensors (i). The forward rate constants (\(\delta_i\)) are specified by \(\gamma_i\) and the equilibrium constants. Dashed lines in A and open symbols B represent fits where \(\gamma_i\) was allowed to vary freely. Similar fits (solid lines [A] and closed symbols [B]) were obtained when \(\gamma_i\) was constrained to decrease by at most D\textsuperscript{-4}-fold when a voltage sensor activates such that \(\delta_i\) increases monotonically. (C) Mean \(\tau(1k)-V\) at all \([Ca^{2+}]\) (0–100 \(\mu M\)) are fit by Scheme II using the steady-state parameters in Table II (Fit B) and a two-barrier transition state model to describe the C-O rate constants (dashed lines, Eq. 20; solid lines, Eq. 21). The corresponding values of \(\gamma_i\) are plotted in B (lines). (D) \(\tau(1k)-V\) relationships (mean \(\pm\) SEM) in 0, 0.81, and 68 \(\mu M\) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} are compared with fits (Eq. 21). (E) Transition state diagrams illustrate how a model with two transition states (\(T_1, T_2\)) can account for a complex relationship between Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, voltage, and closing rates. Free energy (\(\Delta G\)) relative to the open state is plotted against the C-O reaction coordinate for the unliganded (\(E_1\)) and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-saturated (\(E_2\)) case. Solid lines represent the transition when voltage sensors are not activated (i = 0). Dashed lines indicate the additive perturbations produced by activation of 1–4 voltage sensors. The relative energies of C versus O and \(T_1\) versus \(T_2\) and the perturbations to each of these states produced by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding and voltage sensor activation were determined from the fits in (C) using Eq. 21. The \(\Delta G\) between the open and transition states is not determined by the data and was adjusted arbitrarily. (\(E_1\)) In the unliganded state when voltage sensors are not activated (solid line) the transition rate is dominated by a single barrier (\(T_1\)). Voltage sensor activation produces a small perturbation to \(T_2\) (\(\Psi^{T_2}_{V} = 0.041\)) such that \(\gamma_1\) is not sensitive to voltage sensor activation for i = 1–3. However, \(T_2\) is strongly perturbed (\(\Psi^{T_2}_{V} = 1\)) such that it becomes rate-determining when i = 4 (starred barrier). (\(E_2\)) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding also perturbs \(T_2\) more than \(T_1\) (\(\Psi^{T_1}_{Ca} = 0.7\); \(\Psi^{T_2}_{Ca} = 0.089\)) such that when the channel is Ca\textsuperscript{2+} saturated (thick solid line) \(T_2\) becomes rate determining when i = 2–4 (starred traces).

To alter the C-O equilibrium (Scheme II), the shift in \(\tau(1k)-V\) implies that a more complex relationship exists between the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and V dependence of the C-O transition kinetics. We can show that this is the case by focusing on the foot of the \(\tau(1k)-V\) relation.

As membrane potential increases at negative voltages, \(\tau(1k)\) deviates from its limiting exponential voltage dependence (\(\tau_{\infty}(V)\)), implying that \(\tau(1k)\) can no longer be described by Eq. 16. However, this deviation occurs at voltages where \(P_{ij}\) is small and closing rates are presumably much greater than opening rates. Therefore, Eq. 14 should be valid. Comparing Eqs. 14 and 16, it is evident that two conditions must be satisfied for a deviation from exponential voltage dependence to occur. First, voltage sensors must activate so that open states other than \(O_{ij}\) are occupied. That is, the open channel charge distribution \(Q_{ij}\) must increase from its minimum level. Second, voltage sensor activa-
tion must reduce the closing rates. The voltage \( (V_{\text{dN}}) \) where deviation from \( \tau_a(V) \) occurs reflects both the voltage dependence of \( Q_d \), and the effect of voltage sensor activation on the O to C transition rates.

\[ V_{\text{dN}} \] decreases by almost 150 mV as \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) increases from 0 to 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) (arrows, Fig. 14 B). This shift is not accounted for entirely by a \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-dependent change in voltage sensor activation, because \( Q_d \) shifts by only \( \sim 40 \) mV over the same \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-range (Fig. 12 B). Therefore, the change in \( V_{\text{dN}} \) must reflect a \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-dependent change in the sensitivity of closing rates to voltage sensor activation. To test this hypothesis, we fit the \( \tau(I_k) \)-V relationships in 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) to Scheme II (Fig. 15 A).

**Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Alters the Sensitivity of Closing Rates to Voltage Sensor Activation**

Scheme II reduces to a 10-state gating scheme containing five C-O transitions in either 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) (Scheme I*, Fig. 1 B) or saturating \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) (sub-Scheme IIb*, Fig. 4 E). The equilibrium constants for the C-O transitions are determined by the steady-state parameters, leaving five additional kinetic parameters to specify the opening and closing rate constants. The mean \( \tau(I_k) \)-V relationships in 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) are plotted in Fig. 15 A together with fits to the unliganded and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-saturated schemes respectively. The log closing rate constants are plotted in Fig. 15 B (symbols) against the number of voltage sensors activated \( i \). Similar fits were obtained when the closing rates were allowed to vary freely (Fig. 15 A, dashed lines, and B, open symbols) or constrained such that opening and closing rates change monotonically with voltage sensor activation (Fig. 15 A, solid lines, and B, filled symbols).

The closing rates (Fig. 15 B) reveal a different response to voltage sensor activation in 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \). In 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), closing rates remain relatively constant until the fourth voltage sensor is activated. In 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), however, closing rates begin to decrease when one voltage sensor is activated and decrease more sharply when three or four are activated. This change in the pattern of closing rates is necessary to explain the large shift in the foot of the \( \tau(I_k) \)-V relationship with \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \). In high \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( V_{\text{dN}} \) coincides roughly with the foot of the \( Q_d \)-V relationship, whereas, in 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( V_{\text{dN}} \) occurs at more positive voltages where \( Q_d \) has already increased substantially and several voltage sensors have been activated.

**\( \tau(I_k) \) in Intermediate [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]**

\( \tau(I_k) \)-V relationships in intermediate [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] are similar in shape to those in 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and 70 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and can also be fit by 10-state gating schemes (unpublished data). However, such fits are of limited value since many more than 10 states are accessible at intermediate [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]. Even with the simplifying assumption that \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-binding and voltage sensor transitions are equilibrated, the 70-state gating scheme specified by Scheme II contains 35 C-O transitions that can influence \( \tau(I_k) \). The equilibrium constants for these transitions are specified by Scheme II and constrained by the steady-state data. Yet the forward and backward rate constants are, in principal, free to vary within this constraint, adding 35 kinetic parameters.

Fitting \( \tau(I_k) \) in 0 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and high \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and at extreme voltages helps to constrain subsets of the kinetic parameters in Scheme II. Nonetheless, attempts to fit the entire \( \tau(I_k) \) dataset to Eq. 13 were problematic. The \( \tau(I_k) \) data were not sufficient to constrain the large number of free kinetic parameters. Fits often failed to converge or did not converge to unique solutions. In some cases, the model traces “oscillated” about the \( \tau(I_k) \)-V plots, exhibiting periodic changes in voltage dependence caused when neighboring C-O transitions in Scheme II were assigned rate constants of different orders of magnitude (unpublished data). Such fits fail to capture the gradual changes in voltage dependence that are evident in the data. This suggests that neighboring rate constants in the model are not completely free to vary but are to some extent correlated.

**A Transition State Model for the C-O Conformational Change**

To reduce the number of free parameters in Scheme II and to better reproduce the voltage dependence of \( \tau(I_k) \) by imposing a correlation among rate constants, we attempted to devise a model to account for the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and voltage dependence of the rate constants. It is likely that C-O transition kinetics might be described in terms of a simple mechanism since the steady-state data are reproduced reasonably well by Scheme II. That is, the effect of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and voltage on the C-O equilibrium is accounted for with the assumption that perturbations in \( \Delta G_C \) caused by \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding (\( \Delta \Delta G_C = -kT \ln [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \)) or by voltage sensor activation (\( \Delta \Delta G_C = -kT \ln [\text{V} \text{sensor}] \)) are additive and independent (Cui and Aldrich, 2000). Thus, a linear relationship exists between \( \Delta G_C \) and the number of bound \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) \( (j) \) or activated voltage sensors \( (i) \). Given this simple relationship, it seems plausible that C-O rate constants might also be altered systematically by \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding and voltage sensor activation.

Although perturbations in equilibria and kinetics need not be related, simple correlations are often observed for protein conformational changes, including the opening of acetylcholine receptor channels (Grosman et al., 2000), the allosteric transition of hemoglobin (Eaton et al., 1991; Hofrichter et al., 1991), and protein folding reactions (Fersht, 1995). A simple example in the case of mSlo1 is that \( \tau(I_k) \) and \( P_o \) both exhibit exponential voltage dependencies at extreme negative voltages (Figs. 9 A and 14 B). Thus, a linear re-
relationship exists between the log closing rate constant \(\ln(\gamma_i)\) and log C-O equilibrium constant \(\ln(k)\) in response to voltage perturbation when voltage sensors are not activated. Such relationships can be explained in terms of transition state theory.

According to transition state theory, the C-O conformational change may be described as a reaction whose kinetics are dominated by an energy barrier, represented as a transiently occupied state (T). The C-O equilibrium constant is determined by the free energy difference \(\Delta G_{CO}\) between C and O states \((L = \exp(-\Delta G_{CO}/kT))\), whereas the closing rate is determined by the difference \(\Delta G_{OT}\) between O and T \((\gamma = \exp(-\Delta G_{OT}/kT))\). Thus, proportional changes in \(\ln(\gamma)\) and \(\ln(k)\) imply proportional changes in \(\Delta G_{CO}\) and \(\Delta G_{OT}\) (i.e., \(\Delta \Delta G_{OT} = \Psi \Delta \Delta G_{CO}\)). In the case of a voltage-dependent transition, such a rate-equilibrium linear-free energy relationship could arise if the gating charge moved during the O-T transition is a constant fraction of that moved during the O-C transition. In a more general sense, proportional changes in \(\Delta G_{CO}\) and \(\Delta G_{OT}\) are thought to occur when the transition state has structural features intermediate between the C and O conformations and is therefore sensitive to perturbations that affect C and O (Leffler, 1953; Hammond, 1955).

A Single Barrier Model Cannot Account for the Voltage Dependence of \(\tau(I_e)\)

To describe the rate constants in Scheme II we first considered the possibility that the C-O conformational change can be represented by a single energy barrier with a transition state (T) and that perturbations in the barrier energy produced by Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding \((\Delta \Delta G_{Ca}^{V})\) or voltage sensor activation \((\Delta \Delta G_{OT}^{V})\) are additive and proportional to perturbations in \(\Delta G_{CO}\) where \(\Delta G_{CO} = -kT \ln(C)\) and \(\Delta G_{CO}^{V} = -kT \ln(D)\). In this case three parameters, in addition to the equilibrium parameters in Scheme II, are required to specify the rate constants:

\[
\gamma_{ij} = A e^{-\Delta G_{OT}^{V}/kT} = \gamma_{00} b^{-\Psi V} c^{-j \Psi Ca},
\]

where \(\gamma_{00}\) is the closing rate for the unliganded channel (\(j = 0\)) with no voltage sensors activated (\(i = 0\)). \(\Psi V\) and \(\Psi Ca\) represent the fractional perturbations of \(\Delta G_{OT}\) produced by voltage sensor activation or Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding, respectively \((\Delta \Delta G_{OT}^{V} = \Psi \Delta \Delta G_{CO}^{V})\).

Although Eq. 18 only specifies 25 rate constants, this is sufficient to characterize the 35 C-O transitions in Scheme II, provided we make the simplifying assumption that transitions with identical equilibrium constants have identical rate constants. Scheme II predicts that multiple C-O transitions exist for some combinations of \(i\) and \(j\), owing to direct interactions between voltage sensors and Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites represented by the E-factor in Scheme II. However, these interactions do not alter the C-O equilibrium constants which are identical for any one combination of \(i\) and \(j\).

The single barrier model predicts a linear relationship between log closing rate and the number of activated voltage sensors \((i)\) or bound Ca\(^{2+}\) \((j)\):

\[
\ln(\gamma_{ij}) = \ln(\gamma_{00}) - i \Psi V \ln(D) - j \Psi Ca \ln(C).
\]

This prediction is inconsistent with the observed relationships between log \((\gamma_{ij})\) and \(i\) in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) or 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 15 B). Thus, a single barrier model is inadequate to describe the observed voltage dependence of \(\tau(I_e)\).

A Two Barrier Model Reproduces the Apparent Ca\(^{2+}\) and Voltage Dependence of C-O Transition Kinetics

The relationship between log \((\gamma_{ij})\) and voltage sensor activation in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 15 B) suggests that perturbations in \(\Delta G_{CO}\) by activation of each voltage sensor produce small \(\Delta \Delta G_{OT}\) when the first three voltage sensors activate, but a larger \(\Delta \Delta G_{OT}\) for the fourth voltage sensor. This biphasic pattern might arise if the C-O transition is characterized by two barriers that become rate limiting over different ranges of \(\Delta G_{CO}\). It seems reasonable that a complex protein conformational change such as channel opening might be characterized by a complex energy landscape rather than a single uniform barrier (Fersht, 1995; Sigg et al., 1999). Thus, we considered a model in which the C-O transition is represented by two transition states \((T_1, T_2); \) Ca\(^{2+}\) and voltage are assumed to perturb the energy of the transition states to different extents as determined by the perturbation factors \(\Psi V_1, \Psi V_2, \Psi Ca_1, \Psi Ca_2\).

Modeling the C-O transition rates in terms of a complex energy barrier using Eyring rate theory is a simplification and requires additional assumptions about the energy landscape. A two-barrier model suggests that an energy well defining an intermediate state \((S)\) might exist between C and O. However, a variety of macroscopic and single channel kinetics data imply that the C-O transition is concerted (i.e., highly cooperative), such that any intermediate states must have a low equilibrium occupancy and brief dwell time (see discussion). Thus, we make the simplifying assumption that the free energy of any intermediate is high relative to both C and O such that the sum of the rate constants for leaving \(S\) are fast relative to those for entering. If this general condition is satisfied then it is not necessary to include intermediate states explicitly in our kinetic scheme. The overall closing rate constant from O to C \((\gamma)\) is determined by the product of the rate constant from O to \(S\) and the conditional probability that a channel in \(S\) will exit to C rather than \(O\). Consequently, the closing rate can be ex-
pressed in terms of the free energy differences between the open state and the two transition states ($\Delta G_{OT1}, \Delta G_{OT2}$):

$$\gamma = Ae^{-\Delta G_{OT2}} \left[ \frac{Ae^{-\Delta G_{ST1}}}{ae^{-\Delta G_{ST2}} + Ae^{-\Delta G_{ST2}}} \right] = Ae^{-\Delta G_{OT1} - \Delta G_{OT2}} e^{-\Delta G_{OT1} + \Delta G_{OT2}}$$

or $\gamma_{ij} = \frac{\gamma_{ij}^M}{\gamma_{ij}^1 + \gamma_{ij}^2}$ where

$$\gamma_{ij}^M = Ae^{-\Delta G_{OTM}} = \gamma_{00}^M D - \Psi_{ij}^M e^{-\Phi_{Ca_i}^M},$$

where $M = 1,2$ and $\gamma_{ij}^1$ and $\gamma_{ij}^2$ represent the closing rates determined by $T_1$ and $T_2$, respectively.

When the free energies of $T_1$ and $T_2$ are comparable, Eq. 19 depends on both barriers. But as the difference between barriers increases, the rate constant becomes limited by the highest barrier. Alternatively, the closing rate may be determined by the highest barrier under all conditions if there is no energy well (intermediate state) between the two barriers when they are of similar height:

$$\gamma_{ij} = \text{minimum}(\gamma_{ij}^1, \gamma_{ij}^2).$$

In Fig. 15 C the $\tau(I_\text{K})$-$V$ relationships for all Ca$^{2+}$ were fit simultaneously using the alternative 2-barrier models described by Eqs. 20 (dashed lines) and 21 (solid lines). The steady-state parameters were held constant (Table II, Fit B) while the kinetic parameters were allowed to vary (Table III).

The two-barrier models provide reasonable fits to the 0 Ca$^{2+}$ and 70 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ data, and reproduce the large shift in $V_{IN}$ (Fig. 15 D). The models also approximate the $\tau(I_\text{K})$-$V$ relationship in 1 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 15 D) and capture the envelope of time constants observed over a range of intermediate Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 15 C). The model lacking an intermediate state (Eq. 21, Fig. 15 C and D, solid lines) provided slightly better fits than Eq. 20 (dashed lines) based on chi-squared criteria. Improved fits at intermediate Ca$^{2+}$ were obtained if $K_0$ was reduced (unpublished data). However, a reduction in $K_0$ is not consistent with the $R_{\text{PV}}$ [Ca$^{2+}$] relationship. The poorest fits were obtained in 10 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$, which is not surprising since the steady-state model underestimates the steepness of the $P_{\text{PV}}$-$V$ relationship in 10 Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 10 C1).

The closing rate constants predicted by the two barrier models are plotted in Fig. 15 B (Eq. 20, dashed lines; Eq. 21, solid lines) for different numbers of bound Ca$^{2+}$ ($j$). The models not only reproduce the biphasic pattern of closing rates in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ but also the modification of this pattern that occurs in saturating Ca$^{2+}$.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme II: Two-barrier Model Kinetic Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The steady-state parameter were from Table II, Fit B: $L_0 = 9.8 \times 10^{-7}$, $e_1 = 0.3\; e, e_2 = 0.58\; e, V_0(j) = 150$ mV, $K_0 = 11$ mM, $C = 8, D = 25, E = 2.4$.

The mechanism by which the two barrier model reproduces the different patterns of backward rates observed in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ and 70 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ is illustrated by the transition state diagrams in Fig. 15 E. In 0 Ca$^{2+}$ at extreme negative voltages the closing rate ($\gamma_{00}$) is determined by the highest barrier ($T_1$). Voltage sensor activation produces little change in $\Delta G_{OT1}$ ($\Psi_1$ is small) such that $\gamma_1$ is not greatly altered by activation of one or two voltage sensors (Fig. 15 E1). However, the energy of the second barrier ($\Delta G_{OT2}$) is altered by voltage sensor activation ($\Psi_2$ is large) such that $T_2$ becomes rate-limiting, and $\gamma$ becomes sensitive to voltage sensor activation, when more than three voltage sensors are activated (starred trace Fig. 15 E3) thereby producing a biphasic pattern in the relationship between ln($\gamma_1$) and i. Ca$^{2+}$ binding also increases $\Delta G_{OT2}$ ($\Psi_3$ is large) such that, in 70 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$, $T_2$ becomes rate limiting when fewer than three voltage sensors are activated (starred traces Fig. 15 E3). By the same logic, Ca$^{2+}$ exerts little effect on the closing rate when voltage sensors are not activated ($\Psi_2$ is small), but has a much bigger effect at high voltages when $T_2$ becomes rate limiting. In this way, additive effects of Ca$^{2+}$ binding and voltage sensor activation on the C-O equilibrium free energy change can produce nonlinear changes in ln($\gamma_1$).

### Discussion

The combined effects of Ca$^{2+}$ and voltage on BK channel gating are important to understand because they underlie physiological processes in many cell types, but also because they provide information about channel gating that is difficult to obtain from channels that are purely ligand or voltage gated. For example, the voltage dependence of BK channels allows us to compare the gating of liganded and unliganded channels to an extent that is not possible for most ligand-gated channels. BK channels can be activated maximally by voltage in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, allowing the detailed study of transitions among unliganded states (Cui et al., 1997; Stefani et al., 1997; Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999; Nimigean and Magleby, 2000; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000). Such openings support allosteric models where ligand-bound and unliganded channels undergo similar conformational changes, but
ligand binding alters the energetics of certain transitions. Here we compare the gating of Ca²⁺-bound and unliganded BK channels to identify Ca²⁺-sensitive transitions and determine the mechanism of Ca²⁺ action.

Relationship to Previous Studies

Previous studies support the basic conclusion that both voltage sensor activation and Ca²⁺ binding act to promote BK channel opening through allosteric mechanisms (Cox et al., 1997a; Cui et al., 1997; Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999; Rothberg and Magleby, 1999, 2000; Cox and Aldrich, 2000; Cui and Aldrich, 2000; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000; Zhang et al., 2001). However, as discussed below, none of these studies have fully tested the assumptions and predictions of a particular mechanism such as Scheme II.

In general, BK channels distribute among a large number of states in a Ca²⁺- and voltage-dependent manner. Scheme II predicts a gating architecture (i.e., connectivity among states) and equilibrium constants that are determined by the model parameters and by assumptions about the functional properties of sensors and gates and their interactions with each other. Thus, in theory, the gating mechanism can be tested by determining the arrangement of states and the relationships among the equilibria.

Single channel Iᵦ kinetic analysis confirms that BK channels can occupy many different open and closed states consistent with the allosteric assumption that no combination of sensor and gate conformations are disallowed (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000). Moreover, these kinetics can be reproduced by gating schemes with separate voltage- and Ca²⁺-dependent transitions (Rothberg and Magleby, 2000) consistent with a mechanism where Ca²⁺- and voltage sensors are capable of nonconcerted action. However, the number of accessible states is large, transitions associated with voltage and Ca²⁺ sensor activation are fast, and single channel recordings at extreme voltages are difficult. Hence, some states are rarely occupied or are difficult to resolve so that many rate constants, and the corresponding equilibrium constants, are poorly determined. Restricting the analysis to the unliganded or Ca²⁺-saturated conditions reduces the number of accessible states and improves, but does not solve, the problem of determining the relationships among equilibrium constants (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000).

The Ca²⁺ and voltage dependence of BK channel open probability also provide information about the underlying gating mechanism. Models similar to Scheme II have been used to fit the shape and Ca²⁺ dependence of macroscopic G-V relationships successfully over a wide range of conditions (Cox and Aldrich, 2000; Cui and Aldrich, 2000; Zhang et al., 2001). However, some parameters in Scheme II are poorly constrained by such data and others covary, and thus are not determined uniquely (Zhang et al., 2001). As we have shown in Fig. 10 A, excellent fits can be obtained when some parameters are adjusted to unrealistic values. Thus, our ability to test many aspects of the mechanism by the G-V data alone is questionable.

Additional tests of the BK channel gating mechanism are provided by combining Iᵦ data with manipulations of channel function. The effect on G-V relationships of the accessory β1 subunit (Cox and Aldrich, 2000) or mutations in the S4 voltage sensor (Cui and Aldrich, 2000) are consistent with mechanisms similar to Scheme II. In addition the effects of voltage sensor, mutations suggest that the interaction between Ca²⁺ binding sites and voltage sensors are weak. However, the extent to which this conclusion is model dependent or can be quantified is unclear.

Testing the Allosteric Gating Mechanism

To test the mechanism of BK channel gating we have measured gating currents, extended Iᵦ measurements to extreme negative voltages, and employed conditions in which subsets of the processes and interactions depicted in Scheme II can be studied in isolation. Gating currents allow us to characterize voltage sensor function directly and to examine the interaction of voltage sensors with Ca²⁺ binding and channel opening. The limiting voltage dependence of P₀ provides additional information about the interaction between voltage sensor activation and channel opening that is not obtained readily from gating currents. Measurements of P₀ and macroscopic Iᵦ relaxation kinetics at extreme negative voltages provide direct information about the CO transition properties and allow us to test the interaction between Ca²⁺ binding and channel opening in a way that is independent of voltage sensor activation. Although we do not monitor the Ca²⁺-bound state of the channel directly, the Ca²⁺ dependence of P₀ at extreme negative voltages provides a more direct indication of Ca²⁺ binding properties than previous measurements based on Ca²⁺ binding of the half activation voltage. By focusing on conditions of high (70–100 μM) and low (1 nM) [Ca²⁺] we are able to examine gating when the state of the Ca²⁺ binding site is well defined (i.e., saturated or unliganded).

Another important strategy used in this investigation was to examine the reciprocal nature of interactions between sensors and gates. Such reciprocal interactions are a hallmark of allosteric mechanisms and provide alternative methods to measure each interaction factor in Scheme II.

Our results allow us to make a number of conclusions about the properties and relationships between voltage sensor activation, calcium binding, subunit interac-
Isolation of Channel Opening, Voltage Sensor Activation, and Ca\(^{2+}\) Binding

A basic prediction of an allosteric gating mechanism is that all combinations of sensor and gate conformation are allowed. We have tested this hypothesis by examining mSlo1 gating under a variety of extreme conditions. Channel opening is weakly voltage dependent at extreme negative voltages in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). This shows that the C-O conformational change occurs whether or not voltage sensors or Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites are activated. Similarly, gating currents are observed when channels are closed or open in the presence or absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Thus, voltage sensors can activate whether channels are closed or open, unliganded or Ca\(^{2+}\) bound. That Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors activate whether or not voltage sensors are activated is indicated by the ability of Ca\(^{2+}\) to increase P\(_O\) at extreme positive and negative voltages. Similarly, the ability of Ca\(^{2+}\) to shift the open and closed channel charge distributions (Q\(_O\), Q\(_C\)) and to alter \(\tau(I_K)\) at both extreme positive and negative voltages indicates that Ca\(^{2+}\) binds whether channels are open or closed.

The Functional Properties of Sensor Activation and Channel Opening

Scheme II assumes that voltage sensor activation, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, and channel opening can each be described by simple two-state processes and that voltage and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors in different subunits act independently. These assumptions appear reasonable within the resolution of our measurements, although indirect evidence suggests that channel opening may not be completely concerted and that voltage sensors in different subunits may interact weakly.

The R-A Transition

Consistent with a two-state model of voltage sensor activation, gating currents recorded in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) activate without a detectable delay and decay with a rapid exponential time course while channels are closed (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). In the presence of 70 \(\mu M\) Ca\(^{2+}\), a slow component of \(I_{\text{ON}}\) decay is evident, and slow components of both ON and OFF charge movement are observed. However, these observations are readily accounted for by interactions between channel opening and voltage sensor movement and do not imply a complex voltage sensor activation mechanism. Q\(_{\text{sat}}\)-V relationships determined by fitting the fast component of \(I_{\text{ON}}\) in either 0 or 70 Ca\(^{2+}\) reflect the voltage sensor equilibrium for closed channels (Q\(_C\)) and are fit well by single Boltzmann functions, again consistent with a two-state process and a voltage sensor charge \(z_j = 0.58\). The limiting slope of the P\(_O\)-V relationship that reveals the voltage sensor equilibrium for open channels (Q\(_O\)) is also fit reasonably by a single Boltzmann function. Although exponential kinetics and a Boltzmann equilibrium distribution are consistent with the assumption of independent voltage sensor movement, the increased slope of the Q\(_O\)-V relationship caused by Ca\(^{2+}\) is not predicted by Scheme II. This effect may indicate a small cooperative interaction among voltage sensors or may represent a systematic measurement error related to large Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent increases in P\(_O\).

The C-O Transition

Scheme II represents the C-O conformational change as a concerted two-state process that is rate limiting for channel activation and has a weak intrinsic voltage dependence. Consistent with a two-state C-O transition, macroscopic \(I_K\) relaxation kinetics are single exponential after a brief delay, over a wide range of voltage and [Ca\(^{2+}\)] (Cox et al., 1997a; Cui et al., 1997; Horrigan et al., 1999). The delay can be attributed to voltage sensor activation (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Horrigan et al., 1999) and does not imply a complex C-O transition. The exponential voltage dependence of \(\tau(I_K)\) over a large range of negative voltages is also consistent with a two-state process. The weak voltage dependence of \(\tau(I_K)\) and P\(_O\) at negative voltages demonstrates that charge movement associated with the C-O transition is small (\(z_e = 0.3\)).

Single channel studies also support a two-state C-O conformational change. The number of detectable open and closed dwell-time components are comparable under a variety of conditions (McManus and Magleby, 1988; Rothberg and Magleby, 1999; Nimigean and Magleby, 2000; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000). This observation is consistent with an allosteric mechanism like Scheme II with a concerted C-O transition that implies gating schemes with an equal number of open and closed states directly connected in a two-tiered arrangement. Kinetic components can underestimate the accessible states and do not generally provide a precise comparison of closed versus open states. However, in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) (Nimigean and Magleby, 2000; Talukder and Aldrich, 2000) and saturating (100–1,000 \(\mu M\)) Ca\(^{2+}\) (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999), where the accessible states are minimized, the number of open and closed components are less than or equal to the number of states predicted by Scheme II (five open, five closed), consistent with a concerted C-O transition. In addition, two-dimensional dwell time distributions are consistent with the connectivity of open and closed states specified by a two-tiered gating architecture (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999). And the detailed kinetics can be reproduced by models similar to Scheme II (Rothberg and Magleby, 1999, 2000).
Although the macroscopic and single channel kinetics are generally consistent with a two state C-O transition, the C-O transition maybe more complex. Brief “flicker” closings are commonly observed in single BK channel recordings. These events are not predicted by Scheme II and may represent intermediates during the C-O conformational change or closed states, such as blocking events, that lie outside of the activation pathway. Detailed single channel analysis cannot distinguish these possibilities (Rothberg and Magleby, 1998). In addition, as discussed below, the voltage and Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of macroscopic $\tau$(I$_{k}$) suggest that C-O transition kinetics respond in a complex fashion to voltage sensor activation and Ca$^{2+}$ binding. To account for these results we have suggested that the C-O conformational change may be characterized by a complex energy landscape.

**Ca$^{2+}$ Binding**

It is reasonable to describe Ca$^{2+}$ binding to each high affinity binding site as a two-state process. However, we do not measure Ca$^{2+}$ binding directly, and the indirect analysis of Ca$^{2+}$-binding properties based on the Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of channel opening is complicated by the presence in Slo1 channels of additional low affinity binding sites and by effects of Ca$^{2+}$ on voltage sensor activation. Here we have measured open probability at extreme negative voltages to isolate the effect of Ca$^{2+}$ binding on channel opening from its effect on voltage sensor activation. Under these conditions, the ratio (R$_{O}$) of NP$_{O}$ in the presence and absence of Ca$^{2+}$ should depend only on the Ca$^{2+}$ dissociation constant (K$_{o}$) and the allosteric interaction between Ca$^{2+}$-binding and channel opening (C). The Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of R$_{O}$ is well fit by an MWC model (sub-Scheme IIc), consistent with independent and identical two-state binding reactions. The K$_{o}$s determined in this fashion (9.3 ± 0.4 mM) or used in Scheme II (11 mM) fall within the range of previous estimates for mSlo1 (7.4–11.2 mM) (Cox et al., 1997a; Cox and Aldrich, 2000; Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001).

It is important to realize that Scheme II specifies four different state-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ dissociation constants for the high-affinity binding site. K$_{o}$ represents the dissociation constant for closed channels when voltage sensors are in the resting state (K$_{o}$(CR) = 11 mM). Channel opening produces a C-fold (eightfold) increase in affinity (K$_{o}$(OR) = 1.4 mM). Voltage sensor activation produces an additional E-fold (2.4-fold) increase in affinity for both closed and open channels (K$_{o}$(CA) = 4.6 mM, K$_{o}$(OA) = 0.57 mM).

The extent to which Ca$^{2+}$ binding may be voltage dependent has yet to be defined. The gating of unliganded channels rules out that voltage gating is determined solely by Ca$^{2+}$ binding (Cui et al., 1997). However, voltage-dependent binding could potentially contribute to voltage gating and provide clues as to the location of the Ca$^{2+}$ binding site relative to the membrane electric field. P$_{O}$ or $\tau$(I$_{k}$) exhibit similar voltage dependencies in different [Ca$^{2+}$], suggesting that Ca$^{2+}$ binding is not strongly voltage dependent relative to voltage sensor activation (Cox et al., 1997a; Rothberg and Magleby, 2000). Assuming Ca$^{2+}$ binding is not rate limiting for channel gating (Cox et al., 1997a; Cui et al., 1997), effects of voltage on Ca$^{2+}$ binding should be evident only at intermediate [Ca$^{2+}$] where the occupancy of binding sites can be altered by changes in binding affinity. Since P$_{O}$ increases with Ca$^{2+}$ occupancy, one consequence of voltage-dependent binding should be to increase the voltage dependence of P$_{O}$ at intermediate [Ca$^{2+}$] relative to saturated or unliganded conditions. Although a bell-shaped dependence on [Ca$^{2+}$] is observed in the steepness of P$_{O}$V relationships (Cui et al., 1997), this effect can be reproduced by a model similar to Scheme II without voltage-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ binding (Cox and Aldrich, 2000). Our results indicate an apparent increase with [Ca$^{2+}$] in the voltage dependence of P$_{O}$ at extreme negative voltages (q, Fig. 12 C). But this effect is not characterized by a bell-shaped Ca$^{2+}$ dependence and may reflect measurement error at low open probability. $\tau$(I$_{k}$), which can be measured with greater precision than P$_{O}$ at extreme voltages, exhibits exponential voltage dependencies at both positive and negative voltages that are essentially Ca$^{2+}$ independent (Fig. 14 C). From these kinetic results we conclude that the voltage dependence of Ca$^{2+}$ binding must be weak relative to that of the C-O transition ($z_{l}$ = 0.3 e). Therefore, we make the simplifying assumption in Scheme II that Ca$^{2+}$ binding is voltage independent.

**Interactions Among Sensors and Gates**

We pursued several distinct goals in characterizing the interactions among voltage sensor activation, Ca$^{2+}$-binding, and channel opening. First was to quantify the interaction energies, i.e., the allosteric factors C, D, E in Scheme II. When possible, we determined these quantities by measuring the equilibrium (energetic) change in a target process produced by extreme (saturating) changes in the state of a coupled effector process. Second, we tested the allosteric prediction that such interactions are reciprocal in nature. Finally, to test the Scheme II prediction that the activation of an individual sensor has an additive effect on the C-O equilibrium constant, we fit the Ca$^{2+}$ and voltage dependencies of P$_{O}$.

**Interaction of Ca$^{2+}$ Binding and Channel Opening**

Interactions between Ca$^{2+}$ binding and channel opening can in theory be studied in isolation from voltage sensor activation at extreme positive or negative voltages. However, at extreme positive voltages where all voltage sensors are activated, measurement of I$_{k}$ is diffi-
cult and \( P_O \) saturates, even in the absence of \( Ca^{2+} \), providing little information about the C-O equilibrium constant. The effect of \( Ca^{2+} \) on channel opening is best studied at extreme negative voltages where no voltage sensors are activated and \( P_O \) is small. Under these conditions, \( P_O \) increases in a \( Ca^{2+} \)-dependent manner by more than three orders of magnitude, indicating a strong interaction between \( Ca^{2+} \) binding and channel opening. To quantify this interaction, we determined the ratio \( (R_O) \) of \( P_O \) in the presence and absence of \( Ca^{2+} \). According to Scheme II, \( R_O = C^4 \) in saturating \( Ca^{2+} \), providing a direct measure of the interaction factor \( C = 7.4 \pm 0.1 \) (1.20 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) based on mean \( R_O \). This is lower than values of \( C \) reported previously for \( mSlo1 \) (C = 8.4–11.6) (Cox et al., 1997a; Cox and Aldrich, 2000; Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001). However, the previous estimates, based on \( P_O \) at more positive voltages, reflect interactions between \( Ca^{2+} \) binding and voltage sensor activation and therefore represent a composite of the allosteric factors \( C \) and \( E \) in Scheme II.

Once \( C \) was determined from the saturating value of \( R_O \), the \( R_OCa^{2+} \) relationship was fit well by an MWC model (sub-Scheme IIC) by simply adjusting \( K_D \). The ability of sub-Scheme IIC to fit the \( Ca^{2+} \) dependence of \( R_O \) supports the assumption that \( Ca^{2+} \) sensors in different subunits have independent and identical additive effects on the free energy of the C-O transition.

The reciprocal effect of channel opening on \( Ca^{2+} \) binding predicted by the allosteric model was not tested directly by measuring bound \( Ca^{2+} \). However \( \tau (I_{ON}) \) measured at extreme positive voltage (\( \tau_P \)) or negative voltages (\( \tau_N \)) should reflect the distribution of \( Ca^{2+} \)-bound closed and open states respectively. That \( \tau_P \) is sensitive to sub-micromolar \( Ca^{2+} \) while \( \tau_N \) is not is therefore qualitatively consistent with the prediction that an increase in \( Ca^{2+} \)-affinity accompanies channel opening.

**Interaction of Voltage Sensor Activation and Channel Opening**

Interactions between voltage sensor and gate can be studied in isolation from \( Ca^{2+} \) binding in the unliganded or \( Ca^{2+} \)-saturated states. The \( P_O \) relationships under these conditions reflect the effect of voltage sensor activation on channel opening. Specifically, the change in \( P_O \) that occurs from extreme negative voltages (\( V_N \leq -100 \text{ mV} \)), where no voltage sensors are activated, to extreme positive voltages (\( V_P > 250 \text{ mV} \)), where all voltage sensors are activated, reflects the interaction energy and the intrinsic voltage dependence of the C-O transition. Scheme II predicts:

\[
\frac{\lambda_{Ao}[V_P]}{\lambda_{Ao}[V_N]} = D \exp \left( \frac{z_l (V_P - V_N) / kT}{4} \right),
\]

where (\( \lambda_{Ao} \)) is the C-O equilibrium constant when \( i \) voltage sensors are activated and \( z_l \) is its partial charge.

\( \lambda_{Ao}[V_N] \) is well determined in both 0 \( Ca^{2+} \) and high (70–100 \( \mu \text{M} \)) \( Ca^{2+} \) by measurements of \( P_O \) over a range of negative voltages, whereas \( z_l \) can be estimated from the weak voltage dependence of \( P_O[V_N] \). Unfortunately \( \lambda = P_O/(1 - P_O) \) cannot be measured accurately at extreme positive voltages because \( P_O[V_P] \) saturates, near unity, even in 0 \( Ca^{2+} \). Therefore, \( D \) cannot be determined from Eq. 22. However, we can place a lower limit on \( D \) by considering that \( \lambda \leq \lambda_{M} \) for any \( V \leq V_N \). Thus:

\[
\frac{\lambda[V_N(P_O)]}{\lambda_{Ao}[V_N]} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{Ao}[V_N]} \exp \left( \frac{z_l (V_N(P_O) - V_N) / kT}{4} \right).
\]

In 0 \( Ca^{2+} \), the mean half-activation voltage \( V_{h}(P_O) \) is 186 mV and \( \lambda_{Ao}[V_N] = P_O(-124 \text{ mV}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-7} \). Therefore, Eq. 23 yields \( D \geq 17 \) (\( \Delta \Delta G = 1.7 \) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) if \( z_l = 0.3 \text{ e} \).

A best value of \( D = 25 \) (\( \Delta \Delta G = 1.93 \) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) was ultimately determined by fitting \( P_O \) over the entire voltage range. The ability of Scheme II to fit the \( P_O \) relationships supports the assumption that activation of each individual voltage sensor has an additive effect on channel opening.

Several lines of evidence confirm the allosteric prediction that channel opening has a reciprocal effect on voltage sensor activation. First, the decay of OFF gating current is slowed when channels are opened (Fig. 13). In addition \( I_{ON} \) increases in amplitude in response to a double pulse protocol (Fig. 11). Finally, the open channel charge distribution (\( Q_{O} \)) estimated from the limiting voltage dependence of \( P_O \) (Fig. 12 A) is shifted to more negative voltages than the closed channel distribution (\( Q_{C} \)) determined from \( I_{ON} \) (Fig. 7 C). The similar shapes of \( Q_{O} \) and \( Q_{C} \) are consistent with the prediction that channel opening has equivalent effects on all four voltage sensors.

The shift in half-activation voltage between \( Q_{O} \) and \( Q_{C} \) (\( \Delta V_h[Q_{CO}] \)) provides an additional measure of the interaction factor \( D = \exp(z_l \Delta V_{h}[Q_{CO}] / kT) \). In 0 \( Ca^{2+} \), the half-activation voltages for \( Q_{C} \) (155 mV) and \( Q_{O} \) (−4.3 mV) differ by 159 mV, yielding \( D = 38.5 \) (\( \Delta \Delta G = 2.19 \) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) if \( z_l = 0.58 \text{ e} \). Although this estimate of \( D \) is 54% greater than that obtained by fitting the \( P_O \) relationships (\( D = 25 \)), the difference in energetic terms (\( \Delta \Delta G = \ln[D] \)) is only 13% and could be accounted for by a 19 mV overestimation of \( \Delta V_h[Q_{CO}] \). Several factors might contribute to an error of this magnitude, including patch-to-patch variation, the different ionic conditions used to measure \( Q_{O} \) and \( Q_{C} \), and that \( Q_{O} \) is extrapolated from a fit to the foot of the \( \text{<q_{CL}→V} \) relationship.

**Interaction of \( Ca^{2+} \) Binding and Voltage Sensor Activation**

We isolated the effect of \( Ca^{2+} \) binding on voltage sensor activation from its effect on channel opening by taking advantage of the fact that C-O transition kinetics...
are much slower than voltage sensor activation in Slo1 channels. Fast components of gating charge movement occurring in the first 100–200 μs after a voltage step reveal the kinetic and steady-state properties of voltage sensor activation while the open/closed state of the channel remains effectively constant (Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999). For closed channels, we find 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) slows the fast component of I_oOFF but has little or no effect on I_oON. Consistent with a slowing of voltage sensor deactivation, the Q_cV relationship shifts by −33 mV in 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\), as determined by gating-capacitance measurements. Similarly, the Q_oV relationship shifts by −43 mV. According to Scheme II, the shifts in Q_c and Q_o are produced by an E-fold increase in the equilibrium constant for voltage sensor activation where E = exp(z_o ΔV_H[H_1] / kT) ranges from 2.1 to 2.7 (ΔDG = 0.45 to 0.59 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) based on Q_c and Q_o, respectively. The shift in Q_c may underestimate E because Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites in the closed channel, with a K_o of 11 μM, are expected to be 86% occupied in 70 μM Ca\(^{2+}\), whereas open channels with a higher Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity should be fully saturated. A final value of E = 2.4 was assigned to Scheme II based on fits to the P_oV relationships over a range of [Ca\(^{2+}\)].

In Scheme II we assume that the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on voltage sensor activation and channel opening are mediated by the same Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites. An alternative hypothesis is that Ca\(^{2+}\) can bind nonspecifically to the channel or membrane and shift the voltage dependence of voltage sensor activation through a charge screening mechanism. We cannot rule out this possibility based on gating current measurements because the dose-dependent effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) were not examined. However, I_o measurements over a range of Ca\(^{2+}\) support the assumption that effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on voltage sensor activation are mediated by high affinity binding sites. First, the Q_oV relationship shifts even in low micromolar Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 12 B), whereas surface charge effects are unlikely at such low concentrations. In addition, we have described a difference between the apparent Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivities of V_h(P_o) and P_o measured at extreme negative voltages (i.e., R_o), which can be accounted for by interactions between Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and voltage sensor activation. The discrepancy between V_h(P_o) and R_o is evident even in submicromolar Ca\(^{2+}\) and is well described by Scheme II (Fig. 9 D) from 0 to 100 μM Ca\(^{2+}\), consistent with the assumption that the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on voltage sensor activation and channel opening are mediated by the same binding sites.

**Limitations of Limiting Slope Measurements**

The maximal voltage dependence of P_o (i.e., peak <q_o>) does not provide a direct indication of total gating charge for channels like Slo1 that can occupy multiple open states (Sigg and Bezanilla, 1997; Horrigan et al., 1999). We have shown that peak <q_o> underestimates the total gating charge of mSlo1 by 26–44% in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner (Fig. 12 E). The tendency of peak <q_o> to decrease with increasing [Ca\(^{2+}\)] is reproduced by Scheme II without requiring that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding is voltage dependent or that Ca\(^{2+}\) ions contribute to gating charge. These observations have consequences for the interpretation of previous studies. For example, Diaz et al. (1998) studied the effect of charge altering point mutations in the S4 voltage sensor of kSlo1. The voltage dependence of P_o at low voltages was used to assess changes in total gating charge. Their conclusions concerning the contribution of different S4 residues to gating charge are difficult to evaluate given the tendency of this method to underestimate gating charge and because different mutants were studied in different [Ca\(^{2+}\)].

**Mechanism of Channel Activation by Low Affinity Ca\(^{2+}\) Binding Sites**

Recent studies indicate that Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) at high concentrations (>100 μM) can act at low affinity (millimolar) binding sites to promote channel opening (Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001). These studies conclude that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding at low affinity sites increases the C-O equilibrium constant through an allosteric mechanism analogous to that embodied by the allosteric factor C in Scheme II. An alternative hypothesis, that low affinity sites interact exclusively with voltage sensors, was rejected (Zhang et al., 2001). However, the possibility that low affinity sites interact with both C-O and R-A transition as in Scheme II was not ruled out. Indeed, our results and analysis suggest that low affinity sites must interact with voltage sensors.

When Ca\(^{2+}\) is increased from 100 to 1,000 μM we observe an approximately −30 mV shift in V_h(P_o). This effect is consistent with previous reports (Cox et al., 1997a; Shi and Cui, 2001; Zhang et al., 2001) and can be reproduced (Fig. 9 D, dotted line) by modifying Scheme II after Zhang et al. (2001) to include additional low affinity binding sites (K_{V_h,low} = 2.33 mM) that are allosterically coupled to the C-O transition (C_{V_h,low} = 3.53). If Ca\(^{2+}\) binding at these sites acts merely to increase the C-O equilibrium constant, then changes in V_h(P_o) should be accompanied by proportional changes in P_o at extreme negative voltages. Consequently the modified model predicts a 27% increase in log(R_o) from 100 to 1,000 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 9 D, dashed line) corresponding to a large ninefold increase in P_o. By contrast, we observe a 2.4% increase in mean log(R_o), similar to the 3.6% increase predicted by Scheme II, and a maximum 9% increase was observed in an individual experiment (Fig. 8 E). Thus, the R_o data are inconsistent with the hypothesis that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to low affinity sites acts merely to increase the C-O equilibrium constant.
A discrepancy between the Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of $V_h(P_o)$ and $R_o$ is observed in 0–100 μM Ca$^{2+}$ and can be accounted for by interactions between high affinity Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites and voltage sensors. As shown in Fig. 9 D, Scheme II predicts $V_h(P_o)$ will begin to increase over a range of Ca$^{2+}$ where $R_o$ remains relatively constant. A similar mechanism involving interaction of low affinity sites with voltage sensors might account for the differential response of $V_h(P_o)$ and $R_o$ to Ca$^{2+}$ in the 100–1,000 μM range. If this is the case, measurements at [Ca$^{2+}$] > 1 mM may be necessary to reveal an effect of low affinity sites on $R_o$.

If Ca$^{2+}$ binding at low affinity sites increases the voltage sensor equilibrium, then the charge distribution for open channels ($Q_o$) should shift to more negative voltages in high Ca$^{2+}$. Although we did not test this prediction, kinetic data reported by Zhang et al. (2001) are consistent with a shift in $Q_o$. According to our analysis, $\tau(1_k)$ achieves a weak exponential voltage dependence at extreme negative voltages and can deviate from this limiting voltage dependence only when $Q_o$ begins to increase. Consistent with this prediction, $\tau(1_k)$-V in 0–100 μM Ca$^{2+}$ exhibit a similar limiting voltage dependence between −300 and −150 mV (Fig. 14, B and C) where $Q_o$ has apparently achieved a minimum (Fig. 12 B). Similar behavior is observed in the $\tau(1_k)$-V data reported by Zhang et al. (2001) for 0–100 μM Ca$^{2+}$ with a limiting voltage dependence of e-fold per 195 mV ($z_N = −0.13 \epsilon$) from −200 to −150 mV in 1 μM Ca$^{2+}$ (measured from Fig. 10 A [Zhang et al., 2001]). By contrast, $\tau(1_k)$-V in 10–100 mM Ca$^{2+}$ is approximately fourfold steeper ($z_N = −0.51 \epsilon$, 10 mM Ca$^{2+}$) over the same voltage range. This result suggests that the foot of the $Q_o$-V relationship has shifted to voltages more negative than −200 mV in 10 mM Ca$^{2+}$. Thus, low affinity Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites may interact with voltage sensor activation.

**Kinetic Models and the Nature of the C-O Transition**

Although we depict the C-O transition in Scheme II as a two-state reaction, it is likely to represent a complex quaternary conformational change involving the concerted movement of four subunits. The existence of concerted but asynchronous transitions are well recognized in the case of protein-folding reactions where multiple interactions may be broken and formed during a rapid transition between two stable conformations (Fersht, 1995). In such a case, the transition-free energy cannot be described as a smooth symmetrical barrier as might characterize a simple small molecule reaction. Rather, complex energy landscapes are observed where the barrier is often described as “broad” and may also be “rugged,” containing numerous local maxima and minima (Fersht, 1995; Oliveberg et al., 1998). A complex barrier is not incompatible with apparent two-state behavior provided that the intermediate species are unstable and do not accumulate. Moreover, a complex transition with a single dominant barrier can exhibit simple kinetic behaviors, such as linear free energy relationships, in response to small equilibrium perturbations. However, large perturbations frequently alter the position or identity of the rate limiting barrier, producing complex changes in the relationship between equilibria and kinetics (Oliveberg et al., 1998; Oliveberg, 2001). In some cases, nonlinear free energy relationships are observed that have been interpreted in terms of a gradual shift in the position of the transition state along the reaction coordinate, the so called Hammond postulate behavior (Matouschek and Fersht, 1993; Hammond, 1955; Matouschek et al., 1995; Matthews and Fersht, 1995). In other cases, sudden or large changes in the slope of linear free energy relationships are observed that are thought to represent a switch in the rate-determining barrier similar to that proposed here for the C-O transition of mSlo1 (Millà et al., 1995; Jonsson et al., 1996; Silow and Oliveberg, 1997; Oliveberg et al., 1998).

The voltage and Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of $\tau(1_k)$ for mSlo1 suggest that C-O transition kinetics respond in a complex fashion to voltage sensor activation and Ca$^{2+}$ binding. In particular, biphatic relationships are observed between closing rate constants and voltage sensor activation in 0 Ca$^{2+}$ and 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$. To account for this feature of the data we propose a two-barrier transition state model for the C-O conformational change. The model reproduces the biphatic pattern of closing rates as well as the changes that occur in that pattern between 0 Ca$^{2+}$ and 70 μM Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 15 B). The $\tau(1_k)$-V relationships at intermediate Ca$^{2+}$ are only approximated by this scheme and it is possible that a more complex model is required. However, this example illustrates the basic point that complex patterns of rate constants can be reproduced while maintaining the Scheme II assumptions that the C-O transition is concerted and that activation of individual voltage sensors and Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites have additive effects on the C-O transition energy.

It is conceivable that the effects of Ca$^{2+}$ binding and voltage sensor activation on the C-O transition energy are to some extent nonadditive (i.e., cooperative), and this might account for the tendency of Scheme II to overestimate the steepness of $P_o$-V relationships in intermediate [Ca$^{2+}$]. Since the rate constants in Fig. 15 B were determined from fits to Scheme II, the pattern of closing rates could also be affected by the assumption of energy additivity. Regardless of this possibility, the behavior of $\tau(1_k)$ at negative voltages supports the general conclusion that Ca$^{2+}$ binding alters the sensitivity of C-O rate constants to voltage sensor activation. $\tau(1_k)$.
should only deviate from a limiting exponential voltage dependence at extreme negative voltages when voltage sensors begin to activate and the O-to-C rate constant decreases. The voltage at which this deviation occurs is strongly affected by Ca$$^{2+}$$, whereas voltage sensor activation (Q$$_{\text{O}}$$) is not. This implies that fewer voltage sensors need be activated in high Ca$$^{2+}$$ to produce a decrease in the closing rate constant.

Our two-barrier model predicts a linear relationship between log closing rate and the free energy perturbation produced by activation of a few Ca$$^{2+}$$ or voltage sensors, but deviation from a linear relationship as more sensors are activated. This hypothesis appears reasonable given the intrinsic voltage dependence of the C-O transition and the magnitude of the perturbations produced by voltage sensor activation and Ca$$^{2+}$$ binding. At negative voltages in 0 Ca$$^{2+}$$, where voltage and Ca$$^{2+}$$ sensors are not activated, $$\tau$$(I$$_{\text{O}}$$) exhibits an exponential dependence on voltage over an ~400 mV voltage range (~500 to ~100 mV) (Horrigan et al., 1999). We expect 1/$$\tau$$(I$$_{\text{O}}$$), at extreme negative voltages, to represent the closing rate constant, and the C-O equilibrium-free energy should change in proportion to voltage. Thus, the exponential voltage dependence of $$\tau$$(I$$_{\text{O}}$$) shows that the C-O transition exhibits a rate-equilibrium linear free energy relationship over an ~120-fold change in the C-O equilibrium constant (assuming z1 = 0.3 e). Linear free energy relationships were also reported for acetylcholine receptor channels in response to a three order of magnitude change in the C-O equilibrium constant (Grosman et al., 2000). We observe similar behavior in mslo1 in response to 2–3 order of magnitude perturbations in the C-O equilibrium constant by activation of two voltage sensors (D$$^{2}$$ = 625) or even Ca$$^{2+}$$-binding to all four high affinity sites (C$$^{4}$$ = 4.1 x 10$$^{3}$$). However, we observe deviations from linearity when additional sensors are activated, since a large nine order of magnitude maximum perturbation is possible (C$$^{4}$$D$$^{4}$$ = 1.6 x 10$$^{9}$$, 12.7 kcal mole$$^{-1}$$).

There is evidence in BK and other channels for activation-associated transiently occupied sub-conductance states that may support the notion of a complex C-O transition. Although stable subconductance events in BK channels are rare, brief (~50 µs) sojourns to a sub-conductance level (10–35% of fully open) are frequently observed during “flicker” closings or immediately before and after transitions to a fully closed state (Ferguson et al., 1993). Similarly, Shaker K$$^{+}$$ channels pass through two sequentially occupied substates with microsecond lifetimes during the transition between fully closed and open (Zheng et al., 2001). These brief subconductance events could represent metastable intermediates in what is an essentially concerted but complex C-O transition.
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